Exhibit F

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF LEHIGH BOARD OF ELECTIONS

IN RE:

BALLOT CHALLENGES OF :
JARRETT COLEMAN and :
ZACHARY COHEN CAMPAIGN :

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Public hearing in the above matter held in the offices of the Lehigh County Election Board in the Lehigh County Government Center, 17 North Seventh Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania, on Monday, November 15, 2021, commencing at 11 o'clock a.m., stenographically recorded by James P. Gallagher III, Registered Diplomate Reporter.

BEFORE: LEHIGH COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

DANIEL K. MCCARTHY, ESQ., Chairman DORIS A. GLAESSMAN JANE R. ERVIN

JOSHUA S. MAZIN, ESQ., Solicitor TIMOTHY BENYO, Chief Clerk-Registration and Elections

* * *

GALLAGHER REPORTING & VIDEO, LLC 1275 Glenlivet Drive Allentown, PA 18106 (800) 366-2980 / (610) 439-0504 Gallagherreporting@verizon.net

2

```
1
       APPEARANCES:
 2
             PORTNOFF LAW ASSOCIATES, LTD.
 3
             By: ROBERT P. DADAY, ESQ.
             1030 Walnut Street
             Allentown, PA 18102
 4
             Info@portnoffonline.com
 5
              -- For Jarrett Coleman and Edward White
 6
             LAW OFFICES OF ADAM BONIN
 7
             By: ADAM BONIN, ESQ.
             The American Building
 8
             121 Broad Street, Suite 400
             Philadelphia, PA 19107
 9
             Adam@boninlaw.com
              -- For Zachary Cohen Campaign
10
11
        ALSO PRESENT:
12
             ZACHARY COHEN
13
             EDWARD WHITE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCCARTHY: I would like to call to order this meeting of the Lehigh County Board of Elections. The three members that are present here today, Doris Glaessman is to my right, Jane Ervin is to my left, and I'm Dan McCarthy. And we're here pursuant to, I believe, a request on the part of the Election Division with respect to matters that have to be attended to regarding the November 2 election. So having said that, I'll turn it over to Mr. Benyo and Mr. Mazin to tell us the purpose of

the meeting and what's before us today.

MR. MAZIN: So we're here this morning for two matters, each of which involve challenges to count or not count certain provisional ballots that were cast in the November 2, 2021 election.

We can start with the challenge filed by Mr. Coleman. Is there anyone here for Mr. Coleman?

> MR. DADAY: Yes.

MR. MCCARTHY: Can you give us an idea of the position involved here with this challenge?

MR. MAZIN: So it's my understanding that the position here, the nature of this challenge is a challenge to count certain provisional ballots that did not affix two signatures to those ballots,

```
and determination of whether that renders those
1
2
        ballots defective and invalid.
3
                      MR. MCCARTHY: With respect to Mr.
        Coleman, what office is involved?
4
5
                      MR. MAZIN: The office is he's a
6
        candidate for Parkland School Board.
7
                      MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. All right. So I
8
        guess we'll take that first.
9
                      MR. DADAY: Mr. Coleman couldn't be
10
                    He unfortunately has been detained down
        here today.
11
        in Georgia. He was prepared to come on Friday, but
12
        he was -- he couldn't make it today. Mr. White is
13
        here. Mr. White was also present at the count of
14
        the provisional ballots, and I believe he fits the
15
        category of standing to contest the provisional
        ballots.
16
17
                      MR. MCCARTHY: Mr. Daday, just for our
18
        record, would you give us your full name.
19
                      MR. DADAY: Yes. Attorney Robert
20
        Daday on behalf of Mr. Edward White.
21
                      MR. MCCARTHY: And Mr. Ed White is
22
        here present today.
23
                      MR. WHITE: I am. Edward John White,
24
        1922 West Highland Street, Allentown. Allentown
25
        11-6 is my voting district. And I did vote in this
```

1 election, and was present during the entire 2 pre-canvassing counting period. 3 MR. MCCARTHY: Very well. And you are here on behalf of Mr. Coleman? 4 5 MR. WHITE: I am. 6 MR. MCCARTHY: With respect to his 7 objection and concern regarding the ballot count? 8 MR. WHITE: Yes, sir. 9 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. So now we know 10 who the players are with respect to the matter 11 before us. 12 Would you state your concerns or 13 objections, Mr. Daday. 14 MR. DADAY: Yes. I think the case law 15 is very clear in this particular matter that the provisional ballot has to be signed by the voter in 16 17 both places. There is case law, a case on it, 18 Allegheny County Provisional Ballot, which is -- I 19 don't have the -- oh, I do have it. Okay. 20 unpublished opinion, but it's 2020 Pennsylvania Commonwealth, unpublished, Lexis 566, also docketed 21 22 at -- or not docketed, 241 A.3d 695. 2.3 Basically it's exactly the same situation that we have here. The Court ruled on it 24 25 and said it's mandatory that both signatures be

1 placed on a provisional ballot, and in cases where 2 it's only signed in one spot it's deemed to be a 3 void ballot. MS. ERWIN: How many ballots are we 4 5 talking about? MR. DADAY: 6 I think there's 21. 7 MR. WHITE: 18. 8 MR. DADAY: Or 18 provisional ballots. 9 MS. ERVIN: Would that materially 10 affect the election? 11 MR. BENYO: So the stance that we as 12 your representative was to count these 18 ballots 13 due to the fact that there was an issue with the 14 poll book and the voters were forced to cast 15 provisional ballots, and by no fault of their own, if it wasn't for the Election Board error, the voter 16 17 would then not have to be put in that position to 18 potentially make this error. And I believe there is 19 case law that states that an error by the Election 20 Board is not the fault of the voter. 21 therefore, I would like to count those 18 ballots 22 because the voter is not to be blamed for that 2.3 defect. 2.4 MR. MCCARTHY: Were there more than 18

provisional ballots cast in this election that were

25

1 signed? 2 MR. BENYO: There were. These are the 3 18 that fall under the category of our error that only had one of the two required signatures for a 4 5 provisional ballot. 6 MR. MCCARTHY: What I'm trying to 7 determine is whether or not there were other people 8 who voted provisionally as a result of an error from 9 the office but who did sign the ballots in the 10 required number of places? 11 MR. BENYO: There were. 12 MR. MCCARTHY: There were. 13 MR. BENYO: I do not have a number on 14 that though. 15 MR. MCCARTHY: No, no. MR. BENYO: But there were provisional 16 17 ballots cast for the same reason who -- that had the 18 voter sign in both places on the provisional ballots 19 as required. 20 MR. MCCARTHY: Do you know, and if you 21 don't know it's okay to say you don't know, why some 22 were signed appropriately and others were not 2.3 signed? I don't know the 2.4 MR. BENYO: 25 circumstance for every one of the 18. But it was

1 either not explained to them by the people in charge 2 of the poll workers at the polling places, or it was 3 a mistake by the voter in the instructions, not understanding the instructions on the envelope. 4 5 MR. MCCARTHY: How many precincts were 6 involved with respect to this particular office? 7 MR. BENYO: It's not specific to an 8 These are just ballots overall that were office. 9 not counted. These were throughout the county. 10 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. And these 18 11 happen to be relevant to this particular office or 12 position? 13 MR. BENYO: I don't think that's --14 that doesn't have to be a qualification of a 15 challenge. I'm just trying to 16 MR. MCCARTHY: 17 determine what happened. Okay. So these 18 don't 18 necessarily justify a printed ballot. 19 MR. BENYO: Correct, yeah. They're 20 random, they're somewhat random, correct. MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. I'm getting the 21 22 hang of the facts here. 23 MS. ERVIN: Yes. There are 18 24 provisional ballots not signed properly, but you 25 want to count them because it wasn't the voter's

```
1
        fault that they had to cast them provisionally?
2
                       MR. BENYO:
                                   Correct.
3
                       MS. ERVIN:
                                   Okay.
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Right.
4
5
                       MS. ERVIN: Even though other voters
6
        who were forced to cast a provisional ballot signed
7
        in both places?
8
                       MR. BENYO: Signed correctly.
9
                       MS. ERVIN: Correct.
10
                       MR. MCCARTHY: All right.
                                                  Mr. Daday,
11
        do you have a response to that factual explanation?
12
                       MR. DADAY: Well, the question is, I'm
13
        assuming, Mr. Benyo, that there were other
14
        provisional ballots that were cast that did not have
15
        both signatures, is that correct?
16
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
17
                       MR. DADAY: And they were
18
        disqualified, is that correct?
19
                       MR. BENYO:
                                   They will be coming up in
20
        the second challenge category of this hearing, so
21
        yes.
22
                       MR. DADAY: Okay. But you decided
23
        that they were disqualified, is that not correct?
24
                       MR. BENYO: Yes.
25
                       MR. DADAY: So let me just get this
```

```
1
        correct now. You said that there was a problem with
2
        the poll book, is that correct?
3
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
                       MR. DADAY: And so what was wrong with
4
5
        it?
6
                       MR. BENYO: When a voter was looked up
7
        it showed that they had already cast their mail
8
        ballot, which in fact wasn't always the case.
9
        should have said only in there that the ballot was
10
        sent to them. And choosing the most secure way to
        make sure that someone was not able to vote twice,
11
        we recommended that the voter, if they were in that
12
13
        situation, cast a provisional ballot.
14
                       MR. DADAY: So just in general, if
15
        there is some question as to whether somebody had
        voted or not would the provisional ballot be issued?
16
17
                       MR. BENYO: It would.
18
                       MR. DADAY: So did that happen in
19
        other cases throughout the county this year?
20
                       MR. BENYO: Yes.
                       MR. DADAY: Okay. And what happened
21
22
        with those provisional ballots?
23
                       MR. BENYO: After investigation,
        depending if they were filled out correctly, they
24
25
        were either counted or not counted.
```

1 MR. DADAY: And so if they were not 2 filled out correctly they were not counted, is that 3 correct? MR. BENYO: Correct. 4 5 MR. DADAY: Okay. So the correct 6 method for dealing with this issue, whether it's a 7 mistake with the machine or something of that 8 nature, where you couldn't figure out whether or not 9 they voted, is to give the person a provisional 10 ballot? 11 MR. BENYO: Correct. 12 MR. DADAY: And so if a person didn't 13 fill out the provisional ballot correctly you 14 wouldn't count that vote, is that correct? 15 MR. BENYO: In circumstances where there was an error by the voter, yes. 16 17 MR. DADAY: Okay. 18 MS. ERVIN: Tim, are you saying that 19 only 18 voters across the county voted provisionally 20 whose names were somehow mistakenly listed as having 21 already voted? 22 MR. BENYO: There are 18 who did not 23 properly fill out the envelope. There were many more that voted provisionally that did fill it out 24 25 correctly and they were investigated and they were

```
legitimately supposed to be given a provisional
1
2
        ballot because of the circumstance.
3
                       MS. ERVIN: So I'm not exactly sure
4
        why those 18 stand out. It sounds to me like what
5
        you're saying is there are some, some provisional
6
        ballots were filled out correctly. Great. Some
7
        provisional ballots that were filled out correctly
8
        were given because of some fault with the election
9
        book, and then some provisional ballots were given
10
        because of the fault with the election book and they
11
        weren't filled out properly?
12
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
13
                       MS. ERVIN: And that's just 18.
14
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
15
                       MS. ERVIN: The last category of 18.
                       MR. BENYO: Yes.
16
17
                       MS. ERVIN: Right. Okay.
18
                       MR. DADAY: I have another couple
19
        questions.
20
                       MR. MCCARTHY:
                                      Sure.
21
                       MR. DADAY: So how many provisional
22
        ballots were issued with regard to the poll book
2.3
        problem?
2.4
                       MR. BENYO: I don't know that number.
25
                       MR. DADAY: You didn't keep track of
```

```
1
        that?
2
                       MR. BENYO:
                                  No.
                       MR. DADAY: So you have no idea
 3
        whether they were all counted I'm presuming?
 4
5
                       MR. BENYO:
                                   They were all counted
 6
        except for these.
7
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Do you have a number,
8
        to satisfy my curiosity, how many provisional
9
        ballots were submitted for everything?
10
                       MR. BENYO: 776.
11
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Okay.
12
                       MR. BONIN: May I be heard on this
13
        issue?
14
                       MR. MCCARTHY: If you would identify
15
        yourself, sir.
16
                       MR. BONIN: My name is Adam Bonin, B O
17
        N I N. I'm an attorney. I'm here on behalf of Zach
18
        Cohen who is a candidate for the Court of Common
19
        Pleas. I'm primarily here on an issue that's going
20
        to be heard a little bit later during this hearing,
21
        but just I want to make sure I understand this
22
        because obviously any issue in the count bears upon
2.3
        his race.
2.4
                       Tim, am I remembering correctly that
25
        provisional ballots, unlike mail ballots, your
```

```
1
        office is required to do signature matching to make
2
        sure that these are in fact the voters who requested
3
        them?
4
                       MR. BENYO: We do look at the
5
        signatures and compare it to the registration,
6
        correct.
7
                       MR. BONIN: Okay. And you did that
8
        for these?
9
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
10
                       MR. BONIN: And there was no question
11
        as to any of these ballots as to whether one of the
12
        two signatures provided is authentically that of the
13
        voter?
14
                       MR. BENYO: There's no question.
15
                       MR. BONIN: So the only question is
        that they did not provide a second signature on a
16
17
        second place on the ballot?
18
                       MR. BENYO: That's correct.
19
                       MR. BONIN: Okay. I just want to make
20
        that clear.
21
                       MR. MCCARTHY: So there's at least one
22
        signature of the voter on every provisional ballot
2.3
        that's before us for consideration?
24
                       MR. BENYO: Yes, sir.
25
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Where did they sign and
```

where did they not sign?

2.3

MR. BENYO: There's two places to sign. The first is that they are -- that's a voter affidavit of the provisional ballot that they affirm that they are the person who it is, and then the second is the voter's signature, and that they're properly registered in the election district. And they are both listed on there. The one is given -- one needs to be signed prior to receiving the ballot, the second is after the ballot is cast.

MR. MCCARTHY: And is there any consistency as to what part was signed or what part was not signed, or is it random?

MR. BENYO: It's random. It's both, back and forth.

MR. MCCARTHY: Okay.

MS. ERVIN: On the one hand, obviously the people who came in to vote intended to vote, were legally allowed to vote, but, you know, they had an opportunity to vote and they took that opportunity, and through no fault of their own they signed a provisional ballot. They had to vote by provisional ballot. But at the end of the day they didn't put in a second signature. So does that mean they should be thrown out because they forgot the

```
second signature or does it mean we should count
1
2
        their vote because their intentions were correct?
                       MR. MCCARTHY: I think that sums up
3
        the issue, yes. All right.
4
5
                       MR. DADAY: I have a couple more
6
        questions.
                    In how many of these cases did they not
7
        sign the affidavit?
8
                       MS. ERVIN: Bob, what do you mean by
        the affidavit?
9
10
                       MR. DADAY: There's an affidavit
11
        portion that's required to be signed on the
12
        provisional ballot.
13
                       MS. ERVIN: Is that one of the two
14
        signatures we're talking about?
15
                       MR. DADAY: Yes.
16
                       MR. BENYO: 14 out of 18.
                       MR. DADAY: That do not have the
17
18
        affidavit signed, is that correct?
19
                       MR. BENYO: That is correct.
20
                       MR. MCCARTHY: And if you would, Mr.
21
        Benyo, give us the distinction between the affidavit
22
        signature and the other signature.
2.3
                       MR. BENYO: The affidavit signature
        says, I do solemnly swear or affirm that my name,
24
25
        date, and birth are as I have listed above, and at
```

the time of the register I resided at the address I 1 2 provided above in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, 3 and that this is the only ballot I have cast in this election. 4 5 And then the signature after they 6 voted, I declare under penalty of law that I am 7 properly registered to vote in the election district 8 indicated in my affidavit, and that I am eligible to vote in this election and this election district. 9 10 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. So the first 11 affidavit that gives information as to their name, 12 their address, and sign an affidavit that that's 13 accurate. 14 Who fills in that name and address? 15 MR. DADAY: The voter. The voter does that. 16 MR. MCCARTHY: 17 MR. MAZIN: I guess I have a question 18 for Mr. Daday, based upon the case law he cited. 19 Given the fact pattern that Mr. Benyo described, is 20 that the same fact pattern that's in the case you recited? 21 22 MR. DADAY: In these cases, in the

MR. DADAY: In these cases, in the case that's cited -- I can give you a copy of the case. It's the -- it says that the signature has to be signed, it's a mandatory provision, and therefore

2.3

24

25

1 the ballots have to be discounted. 2 MR. MAZIN: But is there anything in 3 there that speaks to the issue that Mr. Benyo described in terms of it perhaps falling on the 5 part -- the error being on the part of the county, 6 and, therefore, causing the person to have to vote 7 provisionally? 8 MR. DADAY: Well, that would be --9 essentially would be considered to be a breakdown in 10 the process. And I don't see that to have happened 11 here. He testified to the fact that when the 12 matter, when the person came in to vote it popped up 13 saying that they already had voted. They did the 14 correct thing, and they gave them a provisional 15 ballot. That is the process that's supposed to happen when there is any type of issues with regards 16 17 to either the failed computer, or the computer is 18 out at the voting place. 19 MR. MAZIN: But does the case that you 20 cited address --MR. DADAY: Not that. Not that, no. 21 22 Not specifically, no. 2.3 MR. MAZIN: Okay. 24 MR. MCCARTHY: Would you like to 25 provide a copy of that case?

1 MR. DADAY: Sure. 2 Now, this is the case the Supreme 3 Court decided. MR. MAZIN: Thank you. 5 MR. DADAY: I mean, I don't know if 6 you've got this one. 7 This is the other one too. This is 8 the Howells case. In that case they did -- what 9 happened, and it's actually our county, in that case 10 what happened is completely different from the 11 current case. In that case Terry had given 12 basically some bad information to some of the 13 candidates, and they relied on it to their 14 detriment, and then the issue came back up and 15 somebody contested it. But that's clearly different than this particular case where there wasn't a 16 17 breakdown in the process. 18 MR. MAZIN: So what would constitute a 19 breakdown in the process then? 20 MR. DADAY: Well, I think certainly 21 the case that was decided here in Lehigh County 22 where Terry had given basically bad information, 2.3 incorrect information to the candidate, which caused them to fill out the forms incorrectly, or did not 24 25 have the -- I think it was the financial affidavit

1 had to be signed or filled in from the AOPC. 2 candidates were all told they didn't have to do 3 that, which is completely different from this case, 4 because as I said, okay, maybe the machine was 5 defective, or maybe there was an issue with the machine, but the correct thing happened. 6 7 given a provisional ballot. They filled it out. 8 They filled it out incorrectly. 9 MR. BONIN: Tim, I understand what 10 you're saying is we don't know what the judges of election instructed each individual voter as to how 11 12 they had to sign and where to sign, that's not an issue here? 13 14 MR. BENYO: Correct. 15 MS. ERVIN: The question I have about 16 that is you said 14 out of 18 signed the affidavit? 17 MR. DADAY: Did not sign the affidavit. 18 19 Did not sign the MS. ERVIN: 20 affidavit. 21 MR. BENYO: But they did sign the 22 voter signature. 23 Okay. So when they were MS. ERVIN: 24 given the provisional ballot should the person who 25 gave it to them from the election office have said

```
1
        you have to fill this out before I can give you this
2
        ballot?
3
                       MR. BENYO: That would be the proper
4
        procedure, yes.
5
                       MR. DADAY:
                                   Can you say that again?
6
        I'm not sure I heard that.
7
                       MR. BENYO: That would be the
8
        procedure, yes. You fill in that in the affidavit,
9
        then you get the ballot. Then you sign it.
10
                       MR. DADAY: Right. But the Judge of
11
        Elections wouldn't be providing instructions?
12
                       MR. BENYO: They should not be
13
        providing instructions.
14
                       MS. ERVIN: But they would have to see
15
        that the voter filled out the thing before the
        affidavit, before they give them the ballot, is that
16
17
        true?
18
                       MR. BENYO: No.
                       MR. DADAY: No, because the signature
19
20
        on the provisional ballot is the first one that
21
        they're actually given the provisional ballot.
                                                          The
22
        affidavit is after they filled it out, is that
2.3
        correct?
24
                       MR. BENYO:
                                   They get the envelope
25
                Then they get the -- they fill out the first
```

```
1
        part, and then they're given the ballot, and then
2
        they stuff it in the envelope and then sign.
3
                       MR. DADAY:
                                   Sign the affidavit.
                       MR. BENYO:
                                   Sign the signature.
4
5
                       MR. MCCARTHY:
                                      But when they get the
6
        initial piece of paper, the provisional ballot,
7
        they, the voter fills in their name.
8
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
9
                       MR. MCCARTHY: And their address?
                       MR. BENYO: Yes.
10
11
                       MR. MCCARTHY: All that information.
12
                                         That's the process.
                       MR. BENYO: Yes.
13
                       MR. MCCARTHY: But 14 of those people
14
        who got that neglected to sign the affidavit -- the
15
        statement saying this is the accurate address for me
16
        and --
17
                       MR. BENYO: That's correct.
18
                       MR. MCCARTHY:
                                      Okay.
19
                       MR. MAZIN: I mean, I would say I'm
20
        looking at the case that Mr. Daday provided.
21
        Court does read the language that both signatures
22
        are required as mandatory. And because it's termed
23
        that way in the statutory provision, shall include
24
        both signatures, and there's a separate provision
25
        that says, failure to affix both signatures renders
```

the ballot invalid, that's what the reasoning is 1 2 I note also within here the Court made a 3 statement that even though there was no evidence of anything that was misleading to voters, even if 4 5 there had been the bright-line rule in the statute 6 would trump that. And that's based upon the 7 Commonwealth Court's interpretation of Supreme Court 8 case law. 9 There is a dissenting opinion in this 10 case, so it was a split decision, a split 11 Commonwealth Court panel, where one judge argued that the defect was -- and the lack of a second 12 signature could be overlooked as more of a technical 13 14 defect. But that was not the holding of the 15 majority. MR. MCCARTHY: 16 So there was a 17 Commonwealth Court decision, not appealed to the 18 Supreme Court? 19 MR. MAZIN: It looks like there was a 20 petition for allowance of appeal filed, and it was 21 denied. 22 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. Anything else 23 from anybody with respect to this matter? 24 Sir, could you tell us your full name? 25 MR. COWEN: Absolutely. My name is

Corey Cowen. C O R E Y, C O W E N. I believe I'm 1 2 one of your 18 voters. 3 MR. MCCARTHY: Could you stand up, sir, and you can take off your mask if you want to. 4 5 MR. COWEN: I believe I'm one of your 6 18 voters. And there are two challenges today. 7 don't know if I'm involved in the second challenge 8 or not. So you're asking questions about what the 9 instructions with things were today, and that 10 process, because I was there. I'd be more than 11 happy to offer what I did. 12 MR. MCCARTHY: Go ahead. 13 MR. COWEN: I went to vote. Ι 14 originally asked for a mail-in ballot for my wife 15 and I during COVID. I got the opportunity to go down and vote and were told about the provisional 16 17 process. 18 MR. MCCARTHY: Could you tell us where 19 you voted at, sir? 20 MR. COWEN: Yes. Fearless Fire 21 Company. And we went there and were told it wasn't 22 the normal process but it was provisional. And I 2.3 believe both my wife and I made the same error, even though at the same time. My wife will tell you she 24 25 doesn't make mistakes, but I do. But we did both at

2.3

the same time. And I guess the question I have, I also had done the electronic signature on the iPad as well. So if you believe weather my vote makes a difference or not, that was the process. I was verified by the normal process. But that would be then error on my part, because not knowing -- I've never voted that way before.

MR. MCCARTHY: Right.

MR. COWEN: I was trying to think back when I got the call about the challenge to see if, you know, run through it, and I know I signed a couple of times. I wasn't aware, again, of any instruction that I missed. But I do realize that that's a relatively minor process of all the votes when you do something infrequently. Neither my wife or I remembered any specific instructions that we were given. It wasn't busy, we weren't rushed. It wasn't hectic or anything like that.

MR. MCCARTHY: Do you recall signing twice or one time? Or not at all, you don't recall that?

MR. COWEN: I remember filling out my address and the other pieces. But that's sort of --when you do that, that's just sort of by rote. I know I signed electronically in the iPad which I

1 normally do when I vote. But specifically the 2 second time, if I got the call I assume I missed 3 one. MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. 4 5 MS. ERVIN: One quick question. 6 this happened before? 7 MR. BENYO: This particular situation, 8 no, it's not happened before. And I mean, my 9 preference is that I err on the side of the voter, 10 if possible. I tend to vote, or to err on the side 11 of the voter and give the voter intent to have that 12 come out in a proceeding like this, not -- not to make a decision for the voter. And let that -- let 13 14 the process happen. So however that works out. 15 That's how I proceed in making a judgment. 16 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. 17 MS. ERVIN: Well, since we are 18 wrapping things up, I tend to lean toward the voter's intent as well. And I feel like, yes, it's 19 20 a technical issue, and was incorrect. However, there was a mistake by the -- by the election 21 22 office. So I think you have to take that into 23 account. So my -- I would lean toward the intent of 24 the voter in this particular case. 25 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay.

1 Ms. Glaessman, what do you think about 2 this? MS. GLAESSMAN: Well, I think because 3 of the fact that there appears to be some 4 5 misinformation given, or no information in certain 6 cases, if there's one signature there that could be 7 matched to the electronic poll book, I think they 8 should, you know, agree to that, use the other 9 signature. There's nothing in that case law that 10 specifically disallows a provisional ballot if 11 there's only one signature, is there? 12 MR. MAZIN: That's what it says. 13 says based upon the statute. The statute is 14 mandatory, is worded, shall affix two signatures, 15 and the failure to affix both signatures is more than just a technical defect. 16 17 MS. GLAESSMAN: Oh, that is in the 18 case law? 19 MR. MAZIN: That's in the case law, 20 that it shall. 21 MS. GLAESSMAN: And that case law 22 It wasn't appealed? stood? 2.3 MR. MAZIN: It's still good law. An 24 attempt to appeal was made. The Supreme Court 25 denied the appeal. So the Supreme Court didn't

review it. They declined to review it.

2.3

MS. GLAESSMAN: So that stands then.

MR. MAZIN: That stands.

MS. GLAESSMAN: So that maybe changes our way of thinking because there's case law there now.

MR. MCCARTHY: That case law -correct me if I'm wrong, was a situation where you
had a standard situation with a mail-in ballot
coming in being processed with many others. This
case though involved provisional ballots in a
situation where there was some involvement, or
non-involvement on the part of the Election Board.
Is that a distinction or is it a distinction without
a difference?

MR. MAZIN: I mean, my read of it is it's a distinction without a difference. It did concern specifically provisional ballots and the statutory provision that covers those. And the Court went on to say if first there was nothing in the record to support an assertion that misleading information was given in this case, but had there been it wouldn't change the result because the statutory, the mandatory nature of the statutory language would override that.

1 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. 2 MS. GLAESSMAN: That clears up my 3 thinking now. MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. 5 MS. GLAESSMAN: I think we're going to 6 be bound by that case law. 7 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. 8 MS. ERVIN: If we did -- if we did 9 allow the votes to count, would there be -- would 10 we get in trouble? 11 MR. MAZIN: No. It's your decision. 12 There's no trouble. 13 MS. ERVIN: From, you know, at the 14 next level, the Commonwealth Court scenario. 15 MR. MAZIN: Right, reviewing it. I mean -- you know, I think certainly the argument 16 17 could be made that you could distinguish any case. 18 So the fact here that you did have the error in the 19 poll book, which wasn't exactly the scenario here, 20 you know, there's a colorable argument to say that 21 was a distinction, because this case addressed 22 potentially misleading information at the poll, not 2.3 specifically the situation where the person went to vote and it was determined that they had already 2.4 25 cast a vote. And then, therefore, they wouldn't

2.3

have had to vote provisionally but for the county's mistake, or the mistake from the poll book. So I think you can -- you can argue that there's a distinction there. I think the Court, the overarching thing from the case is that the language of the statute on the two signatures is mandatory. So based upon this, it doesn't look like that would change the outcome. But there's a distinction certainly to be argued.

MS. GLAESSMAN: You're talking about -- you're talking about a distinction between a provisional ballot in place of a mail-in ballot or actual --

MR. MAZIN: No, I was talking about a distinction between perhaps misleading information being given at the poll, which resulted in the problem, versus a situation where the person wouldn't have even had to vote provisionally had the poll book not been in error. So that's probably a distinction without a difference, but there is that factual breakdown to it.

MS. GLAESSMAN: Okay.

MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. Anything from anyone before we vote on this matter?

MR. DADAY: Again, I think the case

1 law speaks for itself. The language of the Code 2 is mandatory. It says shall. It really -- you 3 can't -- what's going to happen in the next case if you take this distinction? Just because the county 5 has some error or something doesn't mean that the 6 process broke down. The process actually worked 7 correctly. They gave them a provisional ballot, and 8 unfortunately they didn't sign in both places. 9 Therefore, I don't think you have any choice but to 10 discount these votes. 11 MR. MCCARTHY: Shall we vote? 12 Okay. The matter before us is whether 13 or not to approve the count of these 18 challenged 14 ballots. I'll entertain a motion from any of my 15 co-members to rule on that, to be for having these ballots counted, or not having these ballots 16 17 counted. 18 MS. ERVIN: I move that we take a 19 vote. 20 MR. MCCARTHY: You move we take a 21 vote. All right. 22 If no one makes a motion I'll make the 2.3 motion. 2.4 MS. GLAESSMAN: Your motion is? 25 MR. MCCARTHY: My motion would be to

1 not count these 18 challenged ballots for the reason 2 that although there may have been some failure to 3 strictly follow procedures even requiring people to vote to have to go to a provisional ballot, that 4 5 nevertheless there is an obligation to comply with 6 the statute and the case law as given to us by our 7 solicitor with respect to how we view these 8 challenged ballots, and our advice from the 9 solicitor is that there's a very strong requirement 10 that there be two signatures on the provisional 11 ballot. And absent some tremendous breakdown in the 12 ability to comply with the statute, through the 13 fault of the Election Board, which I don't see, 14 these ballots should not be counted. 15 So my motion is to not count the ballots. 16 17 MS. GLAESSMAN: I'll second it. 18 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. It's been moved 19 and seconded. Is there any discussion on the 20 motion? Hearing none, all those in favor of 21 22 the motion signify by saying aye. 2.3 MS. GLAESSMAN: Aye. MR. MCCARTHY: Aye. 25 All those opposed signify by saying

2.4

1 no. 2 MS. ERVIN: No. 3 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. By a vote of two to one these ballots are not to be counted. 4 5 MS. ERVIN: My point is to be on the 6 side of the voter. 7 MR. MCCARTHY: Understood. 8 sympathies rest with the voters too. 9 Okay. All right. So that's matter 10 number one. Is there another matter to come before 11 this Board? MR. MAZIN: Yes. I believe there is a 12 second matter. The second matter also involves a 13 14 situation where there was a failure to affix two 15 signatures, but I think the fact pattern, my understanding is the fact pattern is quite different 16 17 from the fact pattern of the matter we just heard. 18 So there is a challenge to a determination that was 19 made in this instance not to count the ballots, and 20 I think the challenge is in the nature by Mr. 21 Cohen's campaign is that the ballots should be 22 counted. 2.3 MR. MCCARTHY: All right. And how many ballots are we discussing today? 24 25 MR. MAZIN: I believe it's three

ballots that are at issue.

2.3

MR. MCCARTHY: Three ballots. All right. So the matter is being challenged. Would you care to tell us the nature of your challenge?

Let's get some information from Mr.
Benyo.

MR. BENYO: These three ballots are similar in that they do not have both signatures from the affidavit and for the voter signature. But they do not fall into the category of our error, or our problem with the poll book. They for whatever reason, they are not registered, or whatever the reasoning is, they are not registered, and that's why they had to vote on a provisional ballot.

MR. MCCARTHY: They're not in this district that --

MR. BENYO: It was determined by the computation board that they were to vote -- I mean, these were legitimate ballots for whatever reason to be voted provisionally.

MR. BONIN: We can expedite things.

Given the Board's ruling on the first appeal, we're prepared to just withdraw our questions as to these three ballots. And we prefer to proceed on to the

other category of the ballots.

2.3

MR. MCCARTHY: All right. So these matters are withdrawn, the challenge to these matters are withdrawn. Thank you.

MR. BENYO: I did -- I did provide the Board with information on a meeting with Mr. Cohen's representation on looking at mail ballots that we did not count due to different categories. And I did say that this may come up.

MR. BONIN: With the Board's permission I would like to remove my mask.

MR. MCCARTHY: Sure.

MR. BONIN: Thank you. Well, I actually have written some of my remarks which I'm happy to hand out to the three Board members. I prepared one for the solicitor as well.

MR. MAZIN: I don't get a vote.

MR. BONIN: You don't get a vote, but I think you're going to know the main case that we're going to be talking about today. And I'm going to try to expedite things given what the Board has already said. But the question here is with regard to the mail ballots submitted by voters where the voters signed the outside of the mail ballots but did not date the outside of the mail ballot, and

2.3

for which Mr. Benyo confirmed all these were otherwise duly properly registered voters. All of these ballots were received before 8 p.m. on election day. So the timeliness is not the issue.

And here, you know, the statute is clear, the statute does say with regards to the outer envelopes that the elector shall then fill out, date and sign the declaration printed on such envelope. So we go again to the question of what does shall mean.

This issue came up extensively during last year's post election litigation. It was raised --

MR. MAZIN: Can I ask you to pause for a second. Is there a provision in the Election Code -- I understand the provision regarding provisional ballots, challenges to provisional ballots. Is there a provision in the Election Code that allows the Board to consider this type of challenge at this stage?

MR. BONIN: I can't think of any reason why not. You know, prior to certification the Board can, you know, consider or reconsider any category of ballots as to whether they're part of the count. And, you know, and in fact there is also

2.3

a process through which the Board decision can be appealed under 25 P.S. 3157 to the Court, to the Court of Common Pleas regarding sort of discrete issues of law prior to certification. The whole point being if you can avoid an overall recount and just resolve the one issue you just resolve the one issue, and then everything else can move forward.

MR. MAZIN: Is there authority for that though, is there a statutory provision? I just haven't seen that arise quite this way before.

MR. BONIN: I'm happy to go back and look at the Election Code and think about what the clearest place is. But this is -- this is part of the Board sitting as a computation board prior to certification, as far as I'm concerned.

MR. MAZIN: All right.

MR. BONIN: So because in particular an incredibly tight state Senate race out west this issue made it up to the State Supreme Court in the matter of In Re: Canvass Of Absentee And Mail-In Ballots Of November 3, 241 A.3d 1058.

It was decided late November last year, and it was a 3-1-3 decision of the State Supreme Court. And that one obviously is crucial here because the three justices announcing the

2.3

judgment of the Court, Justice Donohue, Justice Todd and Justice Baer said that this is a minor error, you see it in the power of the throne about the minor irregularities should only be compelled for compelling reasons -- used for compelling reasons, this is not a compelling reason.

You had three justices in dissent,

Justice Dougherty, Justice Saylor and Justice Mundy
who said, as we were talking about with the
provisional ballots, shall means shall, we don't
care if it's a smart shall or a stupid shall, the
General Assembly spoke, we must listen.

Justice Wecht in the middle has the deciding opinion in the case. It was a concurrence of the dissent. In his opinion he said that, look, shall means shall, and the date requirement should be deemed mandatory, and we as a court get into trouble when we try to decide which shall the General Assembly was serious about in the Election Code and which they weren't.

But then he qualified that opinion, and this is what I really quote at length here, and I want the Board to focus on. Because he noted that part of what was happening in 2020 were severe ramping problems after Act 77's passage, and with

2.3

2.4

the historic desire for mail-in balloting given the COVID pandemic.

And so what he said was that in this case voters did not have sufficient warning that shall would mean shall, and that these votes this time should be counted. What he said was that, you know, disqualification is appropriate so long as the Secretary and the county boards of election provide electors with adequate warnings for completing the declaration of the elector, including conspicuous warnings regarding the consequences for failure to adhere to the requirements. And he went on to say, I cannot say with any confidence that even diligent electors were adequately informed as to what was required to avoid the consequence of disqualification in this case.

Going forward he then said, you know, that it's a silver lining that many of these problems came up this year, and are now clear enough, he says, that the legislature and the Department of State have notice of what statutory refinements are most needful. And he stated that it is his sincere hope that the General Assembly sees fit to refine and clarify the Election Code scrupulously in light of the lived experience, and

2.3

2.4

that because this was the second time that this declaration requirement had come before the Court, that it was time for the General Assembly to clarify and perhaps streamline the form and the function of the declaration to prescribe its form to give unity and clarity across the Commonwealth.

That hasn't happened. The General Assembly has obviously talked about a great many things in the year after last year's election. They haven't enacted any legislation changing the mail-in process as well.

And the real problem here, you know, I say this with all due respect to Mr. Benyo, who I know works incredibly hard at this, and to the Board, is that I don't believe that the ballots issued by Lehigh County this year provided that level of clarity.

You'll see in your packet I gave two comparisons with what the Lehigh County outer envelope looks like compared to Philadelphia, which I happen to have because this is my own ballot, and I put it on social media all the time, I post a picture of it.

And in terms of the font size being used, the bold that's being used, the use of all

2.3

caps, and the underlining of, your ballot will not be counted, as opposed to the passive voice on the flap, your ballot must have the following to be counted.

And in particular, you know, and lord knows we have problems with election administration in Philadelphia, and there are things we can be doing better, you know, having giant arrows for the voters pointing to the signature block and the date block has really drawn their attention to that, as opposed to the requirement on the Lehigh County mail-in ballot.

I think it's a sufficient distinction to say that these envelopes this year in this county did not meet this threshold. That for 257 voters to make this mistake when so many mistakes were reduced, and, you know, Mr. Benyo, we talked about other categories of errors, we're not raising questions as to any of other categories here. It is only this category where you had this level of voter error on the outer envelope. You know, everything else dropped from 2020.

So as with, you know, the provisional ballots you were discussing before, there is no question that these were all lawful voters. There

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

is no question that their ballots were submitted on The question is whether this fits within the exception that Justice Wecht drew, and which controls the Supreme Court's handling of this case. Did voters at this time have sufficient warning on the outer envelope? And I printed the instructions which are on the county's website with regard to absentee availability, and which solely focused on the application process and not on reminders on how to vote properly. I respectfully submit that these 257 voters who did everything right, other than this technical requirement, but whose ballots were in fact timely submitted, that the Board should revisit this decision and vote to approve these envelopes. MR. MCCARTHY: So is the matter before us the only deviance from the statute is they did not have a date? MR. BONIN: That's the only thing that these voters --MR. MCCARTHY: They had a signature, they had the mail-in. MR. BONIN: Yes. They probably had a MR. MCCARTHY: postmark on the letter. They got here before 8 p.m.

```
on November 2.
1
2
                       MR. BONIN: That's correct.
3
        right.
                       MR. MCCARTHY: But they didn't have a
5
        date.
                       MR. COHEN: May I just add -- Zachary
6
7
        Cohen on behalf of Zachary Cohen, that in addition
8
        to these 257 I believe there are three where they're
        dated on the other side of the envelope, but not on
9
10
        the date line. I want to also call that to the
11
        attention of the Board.
12
                       MR. MCCARTHY: They're dated where?
13
                       MR. COHEN: They're dated on the
14
        right-hand side of the envelope, as opposed to the
15
        date line.
                       MR. MCCARTHY: All right.
16
                                                  So they
17
        have a date, but not exactly on --
18
                       MR. COHEN: But not on the line that
19
        says, date.
                    That's separate from those 257 which
20
        are without a date, but otherwise compliant.
21
                       MR. MCCARTHY: These are not
22
        provisional ballots?
23
                       MR. BONIN: No.
                                        These are mail-in
        ballots properly and timely applied for by
24
25
        registered voters within the County, otherwise they
```

```
1
        would not have received these.
2
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: So, in other words,
3
        what you're saying is they were signed here --
4
                       MR. BONIN: Yes, but not dated next to
5
        it.
6
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: But there was no date
7
        right next to it?
8
                       MR. BONIN: That's correct.
9
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: Except for those three
10
        who may have signed the date line over here?
11
        (Indicating)
12
                       MR. COHEN: Correct.
13
                       MR. BONIN: And I can certainly say
14
        from experience, and I don't know, Mr. Benyo, if
15
        this is your experience as well, voters often don't
        understand what that right side of the envelope is
16
17
        for because it's only for voters who needed
18
        assistance in filling out their mail-in ballots.
19
                       MR. MCCARTHY: So the matter before
20
        us, what you would like us to do is to authorize
21
        these 257 --
22
                       MR. BONIN: 257.
23
                       MR. MCCARTHY: 257, to be counted.
24
                       MR. BONIN:
                                   That's correct.
25
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: And these 257 either
```

1 have no date or the three have it on this right-hand 2 side? 3 MR. BONIN: Yeah. There are 257 which are undated, and there are an additional three which 4 5 have a date provided in a place other than the line, 6 but otherwise on that side. 7 MS. GLAESSMAN: And they used the date 8 line for the section for voter help? 9 MR. BONIN: Yes. 10 MS. GLAESSMAN: Okay. MR. MCCARTHY: And on mail-in ballots, 11 12 there's only one date required, is that true? 13 MR. BONIN: Yeah, there's only one 14 place where the voters are required to provide it, 15 yes. MR. DADAY: Mr. McCarthy, we obviously 16 17 contest the fact that they're bringing this 18 challenge at this point in time. I think the 19 statute is pretty clear, that the challenge has to 20 be made before 5 o'clock on the date, the Friday after the election. If it's not brought before that 21 22 then it can't be counted, or the challenge is 2.3 ineffective. And this was -- this is from the 24 Boockvar case that was decided by the Pennsylvania 25 Supreme Court. As the Secretary has argued -- and

1 this is a footnote, as the Secretary has argued, the 2 plain text of these provisions requires challenges 3 to applications for mail-in ballot applications be brought no later than 5 p.m. on the Friday before 4 the election. 5 6 MR. BONIN: These aren't challenges to 7 the applications. 8 MR. MCCARTHY: Right. 9 MS. ERVIN: This is challenges to the mail-in ballots that were submitted for vote. 10 11 MR. BONIN: Yes, that's absolutely 12 That's challenges as to whether someone 13 could properly apply for a mail-in ballot. There is 14 that pre-election deadline. 15 MS. ERVIN: Pre-election deadline. MR. BONIN: You obviously couldn't 16 17 have a deadline before the election for challenging 18 mail-in ballots and have three days to arrive. 19 MS. ERVIN: Tim, what is your intent 20 here? 21 MR. BENYO: So different than the 22 canvassing, the mail ballots are a separate section. 2.3 Those are more like voting ballots on election day 24 where that provisional process would be afterwards 25 starting on Friday at 9 a.m. Then we interpret

whatever the findings are of those provisional ballots. So the mail ballots, they are -- as they come in they are checked, put in the system. These ballots were then determined that they did not meet the requirements of the date, or whatever, and then they are pre-canvassed on election day. So they're not counted on election day because they never make it into the voting process. And the canvassing and the computation board on Friday, which is a whole different animal then the process to review the mail-in. So those without signatures, without dates, they're determined prior to the canvassing.

Does that answer your question?

MS. ERVIN: Sort of.

MS. GLAESSMAN: So, in other words, what you're saying, Tim, as of 7 o'clock in the morning of election day you can start taking the mail-in ballots and sorting out those that are complete with the signature and date on the outside envelope from those that are missing like the date?

MR. BENYO: Right. And I guess technically at 7 a.m. those two categories are ready.

MS. GLAESSMAN: You can start looking through them to separate out those that are missing

1 something? 2 MR. BENYO: Yes. These did not meet 3 the requirements as determined by the Election Code to be included. 5 MS. GLAESSMAN: So that when Friday 6 comes around the computation board can take those 7 that are absolutely correct? 8 MR. BENYO: No. It's a separate 9 The computation board has nothing to do process. 10 with it. 11 MS. GLAESSMAN: They're treated 12 separately, but they can work on the ballots that 13 are correct that came in? 14 MR. BENYO: No. Friday, Friday at 9 15 a.m. is a whole different animal. These are election day ballots more than they are provisional 16 17 ballots. 18 MS. GLAESSMAN: Okay. All right. But 19 you still can start on election day separating out 20 those that don't comply with the signature and date 21 on the envelope? 22 MR. BENYO: Well, we can either count 2.3 or not count them at 7 a.m., depending on if they 24 meet the requirements. 25 MS. GLAESSMAN: But basically you can

```
1
        start going through them and separate those --
2
                      MR. BENYO: No, they're already
3
        separated if they meet the requirements. We can't
4
        open them and count them until 7 a.m.
5
                                       But you can separate
                      MS. GLAESSMAN:
        them before?
6
7
                      MR. BENYO: We can process them and
8
        make sure they meet the requirements.
9
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: Okay. You can
10
        separate the ones that don't comply completely with
        signature and date on the envelope?
11
12
                       MR. BENYO:
                                   Correct.
13
                       MS. GLAESSMAN:
                                       Okay.
14
                       MR. BENYO: And voters were given the
15
        opportunity to correct any errors on the outside of
16
        the ballot.
17
                       MS. ERVIN: You contacted all the
18
        people who --
19
                      MR. BENYO: We did not contact them.
        We canceled their ballot so that they would be
20
21
        notified through the Sure System that their ballot
22
        wasn't counted, would not be.
23
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. Mr. Daday.
24
                       MR. DADAY:
                                   I guess I'm kind of
25
        confused.
                    So these were completely discounted when
```

```
1
        you got them. And the reason why you discounted
2
        these is what?
3
                       MR. BENYO: Well, what we're focusing
4
        on is the date, that it does not meet the
5
        requirements.
                       MR. DADAY: The date. The date was
6
7
        missing. And is that a requirement, it is required
8
        on the ballot?
9
                       MR. BENYO: It is required.
10
                       MR. DADAY:
                                   Okay.
11
                       MR. MCCARTHY: You used the word
12
        discounted. They were not counted. They were put
13
        off to the side.
14
                       MR. BENYO: They were separated, yes.
15
                       MR. MCCARTHY: They were received, but
16
        not counted.
17
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
18
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Okay.
19
                       MR. BENYO: They are still not
20
        counted.
21
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Still not counted.
22
                       MR. BENYO: They're separated.
23
                       MR. MAZIN: Just so I understand,
24
        these were ballots that because of the defect that
25
        they didn't even make it to the counting process?
```

```
1
                       MR. BENYO: Correct. Pre-canvassing,
2
        they did not make it to pre-canvassing.
 3
                       MR. DADAY: And it was your opinion
        based on your review of all these ballots that they
 4
5
        weren't effective, is that correct?
 6
                       MR. BENYO: That's correct.
                                                     They did
7
        not meet the requirements because the date was not
8
        present.
9
                       MR. DADAY: Which is a requirement.
10
                       MR. BENYO: Which in my understanding,
11
        and with consulting with the Law Department.
12
                       MR. DADAY: Now, in the past, say
        like the last election that we had back in the
13
14
        primary, did you count them back then?
15
                       MR. BENYO: We did not count them.
                       MR. DADAY: So you had a similar
16
17
        situation?
18
                       MR. BENYO: The only time we counted
19
        them for the nondated ballots was after the Supreme
20
        Court decision for the November election.
21
                       MS. ERVIN: Tell me about that.
22
        November was the Wecht decision, as the attorney
2.3
        stated.
                       MR. BENYO: Because it stated this
2.4
25
        election, that's how we -- how we proceeded with
```

1 counting them from November 2020, but it was only 2 for that election. 3 MR. MAZIN: So the read of the Wecht decision was that this is mandatory, but we're only 4 5 going to apply it prospectively, moving forward. 6 MR. BENYO: Moving forward from 7 November 2020, correct. 8 MR. BONIN: The Wecht decision also 9 pointed out the need for attention being drawn to 10 that date line is a critical part of the process. 11 MR. BENYO: As the in parenthesis, 12 required, next to the date, and the signature. MR. BONIN: I'm going to do something 13 14 that as a lawyer I'm not supposed to do, but it's a 15 fact I want to know so I'll ask this question anyway. Do you know, did the Board of Elections 16 17 with the staff change the outer envelope in any way 18 from 2020 to 2021 to make it --19 MR. BENYO: Yes, we added the 20 requirement in parenthesis behind each of the 21 required fields. 22 MR. BONIN: Okay. So you're referring 2.3 to under the date line in the small print there the 24 little paragraph where it says, required. 25 MR. BENYO: Correct. If I'm correct,

I believe that it was suggested by the Secretary of State.

MR. BONIN: Okay.

MR. BENYO: And then approved, our envelopes were approved by them.

MS. ERVIN: So once again we have the legal scenario based on that Wecht decision, which as you pointed out, it indicates that going forward this -- the date would be required. They were just kind of giving us a break in 2020.

MR. BONIN: I do think he says more than that, and this is really what the argument rests on, that it's not just -- it's not an unqualified going forward discount, this is a going forward we're going to count these because I expect the forms to be better, I hope -- I expect the General Assembly to look at this again. I don't think that they -- you know, his opinion does not envision a scenario in which we got to November of 2021 and nothing changed. And I don't believe that adding this, you know, the fine print parenthetical under the date line gives a sufficient change. But that's the judgement I'm asking this Board to make.

MR. MCCARTHY: Of course, the Philadelphia ballot, and I'm not saying we need to

2.3

follow Philadelphia exactly, it has two arrows pointing to where the dates are being inserted. It has a bigger print. It says voter sign and mark here, parenthesis, required, with bolder type than the Lehigh County one has.

MR. BONIN: And the other thing that I would note is that it was on the back of the envelope itself and not on the flap which folds over because often -- you know, which is the case in Lehigh County, because often you don't look at that until you're ready to seal the envelope. And so, I mean, yes, it has -- your ballot must have the following to be counted, but especially just based on the evidence of how many voters clearly didn't see it, I don't know that it provided sufficient notice.

MR. MAZIN: What do you mean by that, voters that didn't see it?

MR. BONIN: Because it's on -- if you think about it, it's on the outside of the envelope. So as you're filling out the envelope, you know, that's facing away from you. And so, you know, you complete -- you know, you complete, or you believe you've completed filling out the envelope. You seal it, you fold it over. And the question is, do

1 people actually see it after they folded the flap 2 over? 3 MR. MAZIN: But if that's the issue, wouldn't we at least hear from voters that that was 4 5 their thought process during it, or that there was 6 some type of confusion regarding that, as opposed to 7 just kind of stating that. 8 MR. BONIN: I honestly think, you 9 know, and I've been doing election law for awhile, I 10 think it gets really dangerous to bring up voters 11 for any reason after an election and asking them 12 what they thought or what they were thinking at the time. I don't know how that --13 14 MR. MAZIN: I'm just saying, you used 15 the word evidence. But we don't have testimony like that. 16 17 MR. BONIN: We don't have testimony 18 like that. I think the best evidence of whether 19 voters understood the instructions is their -- you 20 know, is the 257 plus three voters not following 21 the -- you know, not complying with the full 22 instructions. 2.3 MR. MCCARTHY: Right. MR. DADAY: May I ask, how many 24 25 ballots were returned successfully?

```
MR. BENYO: I think there were
1
2
        22,000-ish that were successfully counted.
                       MR. DADAY: Okay. And they had all
3
        the information correctly on the form?
4
5
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
6
                       MR. DADAY: In accordance with the
7
        statute?
8
                       MR. BENYO: Correct.
                       MR. BONIN: So then this is more than
9
10
        one in a hundred who missed this requirement.
                       MR. MCCARTHY: Right.
11
12
                       MR. DADAY: But it's your opinion
        because it does not have all the information that is
13
14
        mandated by the statute it should not be counted.
15
                       MR. BENYO: That's why they were not
        counted.
16
17
                       MR. MCCARTHY: And that's we are here
18
        today. We are here because of the challenge.
19
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: I don't think we're
20
        going to have any choice.
21
                       (Discussion among the board off the
22
        record.)
23
                       MR. MAZIN: I was just going to point
        out that there is -- I believe there's guidance from
24
25
        the State Department Elections Bureau that indicates
```

2.3

the interpretation of Justice Wecht's opinion has been that going forward from the November 2020 election the date is required. And that's the way the State Department Election Bureau has interpreted it, and I believe advised the public of what's required. And given that you have that there was a change made to signify that it is a requirement on the ballot itself, where you have the parenthetical notation, required. So I think the State Department has interpreted it as mandatory.

MS. ERVIN: Well, in the interest of being consistent, I feel like these voters did express their intent, got the ballot in on time, made a technical mistake. And I think their vote should count.

MR. MCCARTHY: Okay.

MS. ERVIN: Again, I come down on the side of people voting. I mean, yeah, they made a mistake. That happens. But they intended to vote the way they voted. They filled out almost all the paperwork correctly. They just missed one thing. And I think we should give them a break, not withstanding -- now, if I vote that direction it could be qualified to say to the election process, can we beef up the instructions on the next ballot

to make it like blinking red lights, sign here, and 1 2 date here, or something along those lines. 3 MR. BENYO: The wording can -- I do not believe I can change the wording, but I can 4 5 bring more attention as the example of the other 6 ballots used, to make sure that it was read. 7 MR. MCCARTHY: Ms. Glaessman, what do 8 you think about this? 9 MS. GLAESSMAN: Do we go against the 10 Supreme Court decision and its concurring Justice 11 Wecht's separate opinion? The ratio of those with 12 errors is smaller than of course the first time 13 around, which was last year. And if it's only the 14 date that is missing -- do you have the system 15 whereby you can file stamp when the envelope comes in, that it's in in the proper time? 16 17 MR. BENYO: There's no question that 18 these were received. The ones that are too late are a whole different category. 19 20 MS. GLAESSMAN: Okay. So there's no question these were --21 22 MR. BENYO: No, they were on time. 23 MS. GLAESSMAN: And the signatures are 24 proper according to the electronic poll book. 25 I would just note that the MR. MAZIN:

provision of the Election Code and that the Court 1 2 was interpreting in the case involving Justice Wecht's concurring opinion is also the term, shall, 3 with regard to inclusion of the date. 4 5 MS. GLAESSMAN: Which is what we used in our earlier provisional ballot decision. 6 7 MR. MAZIN: Right. 8 MR. MCCARTHY: But Justice Wecht 9 joined the majority of the Supreme Court in 10 permitting, at least in that election, ballots that 11 did not have the date to be counted. MR. MAZIN: Correct. It said -- in my 12 understanding it said prospectively it's going to be 13 14 required. And I think that's consistent with the 15 Department of State guidance, what their interpretation had been, that you must have the 16 17 date. 18 MS. GLAESSMAN: In future elections 19 the date requirement should be viewed as mandatory 20 rather than directory, and the omission of the date 21 would be sufficient to invalidate the ballot in 22 question. 2.3 That's part of his separate opinion. I just have one thing I 2.4 MR. DADAY: 25 want to add to that opinion. And it states that for

2.3

this Court's part if we are to maintain a principled approach to statutory interpretation that comports with the mandate of our statutory construction act, if we are to maximize the liklihood that we interpret statutes faithfully to the drafter's intended effect we must read mandatory language as it appears, and we must recognize that a mandate without a consequence is no mandate at all. If the result at the time is that the Court imposes a more doctrinaire result than the legislature intended, that body has the tools at its disposal to ensure that the same state will not be incurred.

MR. BONIN: That's absolutely an accurate statement as to an earlier part of Justice Wecht's concurrence. There's no question he says that. But then he goes on to count these ballots. And then he goes on to provide this additional language as to what he expects going forward in terms of what it would look like to have, you know, a Commonwealth in which these ballots could be properly excluded because voters were sufficiently on notice, and because the General Assembly was absolutely clear that they really wanted this requirement in the first place. You know, because the question of what purpose this requirement

2.3

2.4

serves, you know, is a dubious one given that, you know, given that they were received on time, and obviously they couldn't have been issued before we got to this general election.

MR. MCCARTHY: I'm reading a portion of the instructions from Jonathan Marks, the state election decision. He writes here that -- it's dated June 1, 2021, quote, we also believe that it is prudent to again remind you of our previous clarification on October 25, 2020, period. As noted in that communication there is no reason to reject the ballots for putting the wrong date on the envelope, nor is the date written used to determine the eligibility of the voter, period. We should process these ballots normally.

MR. BONIN: And actually -- I've seen that, and I actually believe, and I think this may be what that is saying, that the Board has decided to count ballots where voters provided their birth dates.

MR. BENYO: Correct.

MR. MCCARTHY: So some voters put down their birth date.

MR. BENYO: The birth date.

MR. MCCARTHY: You would count that.

1 MR. BONIN: And in fact you have 2 counted them in this election. 3 MR. BENYO: We have counted them, yes. MR. COHEN: There's a surprising 4 5 number of those where it happened. So it would say 6 1960, obviously they didn't vote in 1960 for this 7 election. 8 MS. ERVIN: Crazy. 9 MR. BENYO: It doesn't say what date. 10 It doesn't require what date. MR. MCCARTHY: It could be a date in 11 12 the future. MR. BENYO: But the date is there. 13 14 MR. MAZIN: There is a date. 15 MR. MCCARTHY: All right. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak on this matter for 16 17 or against? 18 (No response.) MR. MCCARTHY: All right. 19 Hearing 20 none I guess the Board should deliberate and come to 21 a decision. So the matter before us is whether to 22 approve for counting the 257 ballots which have been 2.3 brought to our attention by Mr. Benyo, which are in compliance with the statute with the exception of 24 25 the date, not having any date on the ballot.

We've heard from candidates, and their 1 2 representatives. We've heard from others and their 3 representatives. We've heard from legal counsel. We've looked at the synopsis of the opinion rendered 4 5 by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, and the guidance 6 provided by the State Department of Elections. 7 think the matter before us is a motion, a motion 8 could be to approve the ballots, assuming it would 9 be accepted to be voted on, or a motion to not 10 approve the ballots, assuming it would be accepted 11 to be voted on. 12 MS. ERVIN: Well, I can move that we 13 approve the ballots. 14 MR. MCCARTHY: Is there a second to 15 that motion? MS. GLAESSMAN: I'll second it. 16 Let's 17 bring it to a vote. 18 MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. Any discussion 19 on the motion? 20 MS. ERVIN: My only point here again is the intent of the voter, and giving every voter 21 22 who tries to vote the opportunity for the vote to be 23 counted, in spite of the fact that they made a technical error. 24 25 MS. GLAESSMAN: I'll make a statement

too.

Let me do that for you, Jim. I've been on Jim's side so I know there can be problems.

I agree with Jane with regards to giving voters to the candidate -- I mean, everything extra on it. The date, as long as that it could be proven by the Election Board -- by the Election Office that it was received on time, the signatures match the poll book, and considering Justice Wecht's opinion here, it was and should be the General Assembly that, you know, takes into consideration items that have come up since the mail-in ballot law went into effect, basically in 2020. And I'll agree to that part of it. But I'll only agree to this year, and with the proviso so that we look at revising the envelope to make it much more visible to the voters. So I'll second that.

MR. MCCARTHY: Okay. It's been moved and seconded to approve the counting of the 257 ballots that have been received. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MS. ERVIN: Aye.

MS. GLAESSMAN: Aye.

MR. MCCARTHY: Aye.

All opposed.

```
1
                       (No response.)
2
                       MR. MCCARTHY: By a vote of 3 to zero
 3
        it's approved.
                       MR. COHEN: Can we get a ruling on the
5
        additional three ballots?
 6
                       MR. MCCARTHY: I thought that was
7
        withdrawn, the three ballots.
8
                       MR. COHEN: No, that was the earlier
9
        three ballots.
10
                       MR. BONIN: There were three
11
        provisional that -- these were the three ballots we
12
        previously had said that were dated elsewhere on the
13
        outer envelope on the right side, rather than --
14
                       MS. ERVIN: These were mail-in
15
        ballots?
16
                       MR. BONIN: These are mail-in ballots.
17
                       MR. MCCARTHY: So they had a date on
18
        it?
19
                       MR. BONIN: But not in the right
20
        place.
21
                       MS. ERVIN: I think we should accept
22
        those ballots too.
23
                       MS. GLAESSMAN: I'll agree.
                       MR. MCCARTHY: All in favor?
24
25
                       MS. ERVIN: Aye.
```

1 MS. GLAESSMAN: Aye. 2 MR. MCCARTHY: Aye. 3 Those three ballots are approved as well. If they can put the wrong date on it --4 5 MS. GLAESSMAN: The date is there, 6 it's just in the wrong box. 7 MR. MCCARTHY: Anything else to come 8 before us? 9 MR. BENYO: I do. The Commonwealth, 10 the Judge of the Commonwealth Court, the close race 11 that has the final tally being less than half a 12 percentage point, which triggers an automatic 13 recount, to meet the timelines of the Department of 14 State, which would be commencing a recount on 15 Wednesday, we need to certify the results of just that office today. So that there's a two day appeal 16 17 period. But they don't have to be final 18 certification, they won't be final certification 19 because there's a recount. But we have to be 20 complete. 21 So if you could make a motion to first 22 certification of the Commonwealth Court judge race 2.3 to include the -- you made the decision on the 260 ballots, the result to include the 260 ballots, plus 24 25 I have four that need to be counted from military

and provisional that should be counted that were 1 2 correct. So there's four outstanding ballots that 3 are good, and the 260 that you approved today. then that would be the first certification for the 5 Commonwealth Court. Does that make sense? MR. MCCARTHY: I think it does. 6 7 It's very simple. 8 MS. GLAESSMAN: I'll make the motion 9 according to what Tim said. 10 MS. ERVIN: I'll second it. I just 11 want to clarify. We're including all the ballots we 12 discussed today in the count for the Commonwealth 13 judge recount? 14 MR. BENYO: That's correct. 15 MR. MCCARTHY: And the military 16 ballots. MR. BENYO: And then the same timeline 17 18 would be in effect as we discussed as the 17th 19 being the first certification, and everything else 20 post casting of lots at high noon. 21 MS. GLAESSMAN: The final 22 certification will be next Monday. 2.3 MR. BENYO: Yes. And then the whole recount hopefully will fall -- it may fall into that 24 25 same timeline, but I think it will be extended a

little later because of the recount. 1 2 MS. GLAESSMAN: Just for the 3 Commonwealth Court. MR. BENYO: Just for the Commonwealth 5 Court. 6 MS. ERVIN: But everything else is on 7 schedule. 8 MR. BENYO: Everything else, yeah, we are on schedule to be finished with the write-ins 9 10 on -- for Wendnesday's casting lots at high noon. 11 And then five days later will be Monday, the 22nd 12 will be the final certification on everything, including today's discussion. 13 14 MS. ERVIN: Okay. 15 MR. MCCARTHY: So we are back here on Monday, 2 p.m. 16 17 MR. BENYO: Monday 2 p.m. upstairs. 18 think I have the public hearing room. But that will 19 be announced in the paper and on the website. 20 MR. DADAY: Mr. Chairman. 21 MR. MCCARTHY: Yes. 22 MR. DADAY: With regard to the 260 23 ballots we would ask that they be kept separate from the rest of the vote tally so that we can properly 24 25 deal with those issues on appeal.

1 MR. MCCARTHY: Sounds fair. 2 MR. BENYO: I don't know if we can 3 keep them separate from the total, but they can be challenged afterwards. I mean, I will not include 4 5 them into boxes of all the other ballots. 6 then remove them. I have to provide the ability to 7 remove those ballots after any --8 MR. DADAY: Okay. 9 MR. BONIN: So you'll open them, count 10 them, and the results will be known, but the results 11 can be subsequently excluded. 12 MR. BENYO: Absolutely. 13 MR. BONIN: Okay. 14 MR. MCCARTHY: And you'll keep the 15 physical ballots separated. Separated, absolutely. 16 MR. BENYO: 17 MR. WHITE: If I may ask a question, I 18 was here during the pre-canvass and canvass period. 19 Will they be scanned on a separate machine from the 20 other high speed scanners that the rest of the 21 absentee ballots and mail-in ballots are scanned on 22 for segregation purposes? 2.3 MR. BENYO: Well, they're not included 24 So once everything goes through there then 25 those ballots are kept in batches in a separate box.

1 They will be kept separate. 2 MR. WHITE: So when that data is taken 3 off of the scanner and uploaded to the computer will that be segregated electronically? 4 5 MR. BENYO: Well, it can be backed out 6 if necessary. 7 MR. WHITE: That's what I'm asking, to 8 make sure that it is capable of physical and 9 electronic segregation so that in the event they get 10 added to the tabulated totals for the Court of 11 Common Pleas race, that there is a way if the 12 appellate court changes the result. 13 MR. BENYO: I understand. 14 MR. WHITE: I just want to make sure 15 that it's really clear before I leave the room, because I was here the whole time when these were 16 17 processed. And then if I may be permitted to take a 18 look at the four that you're adding after the 19 decided ones, because I don't think I've seen those. 20 MR. BENYO: No, you haven't. 21 MR. WHITE: Thank you. So I was here 22 for all plus those four. 23 MR. BENYO: I don't want you to miss 24 any. 25 MS. ERVIN: Too exciting.

```
1
                        MR. MCCARTHY: Anything else to come
 2
        before the Board?
 3
                        MR. BENYO: I believe that is it.
                       MR. MCCARTHY: All right. If nothing
 4
         else to come before the Board, we are adjourned.
 5
 6
                        (Hearing concluded.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

____, 2021 I hereby certify that the evidence and proceedings are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the within Board of Election hearing, and that this is a correct transcript of the same. James P. Gallagher III Registered Diplomate Reporter Notary Public

		•		<u> </u>
A	affect 6:10	approach 60:2	aware 25:12	32:1,8,14,16
$\frac{\mathbf{A.3d}}{\mathbf{A.3d}}$ 5:22 37:21	affidavit 15:4	appropriate	awhile 55:9	33:4,19,21,24
a.m 1:14 46:25	16:7,9,10,18	39:7	aye 32:22,23,24	34:1,2,7,8,20
47:22 48:15,23	16:21,23 17:8	appropriately	64:21,22,23,24	34:25 35:1,7
49:4	17:11,12 19:25	7:22	65:25 66:1,2	35:23,24 36:3
ability 32:12	20:16,18,20	approve 31:13		36:17,18,24
69:6	21:8,16,22	42:15 62:22	<u> </u>	37:21 38:10
able 10:11	22:3,14 34:10	63:8,10,13	B 13:16	40:15 41:24
absent 32:11	affirm 15:4	64:19	back 15:15	42:1,13 43:22
absentee 37:20	16:24	approved 53:4,5	19:14 25:9	43:24 44:18
42:8 69:21	affix 3:25 22:25	65:3 66:3 67:3	37:11 51:13,14	45:11 46:10,18
absolutely 23:25	27:14,15 33:14	argue 30:3	54:7 68:15	46:22,23 47:2
46:11 48:7	agree 27:8 64:4	argued 23:11	backed 70:5	47:2,4,18
60:13,23 69:12	64:13,14 65:23	30:9 45:25	bad 19:12,22	48:12,16,17
69:16	ahead 24:12	46:1	Baer 38:2	50:24 51:4,19
accept 65:21	Allegheny 5:18	argument 29:16	ballot 1:5 5:7,16	55:25 58:6
accepted 63:9	Allentown 1:13	29:20 53:12	5:18 6:1,3 7:5	59:10 60:16,20
63:10	1:24 2:4 4:24	arrive 46:18	8:18 9:6 10:8,9	61:12,15,19
account 26:23	4:24	arrows 41:8	10:13,16 11:10	62:22 63:8,10
accurate 17:13	allow 29:9	54:1	11:13 12:2	63:13 64:20
22:15 60:14	allowance 23:20	asked 24:14	14:17,22 15:4	65:5,7,9,11,15
accurately 72:11	allowed 15:19	asking 24:8	15:10,10,22,23	65:16,22 66:3
act 38:25 60:3	allows 36:19	53:23 55:11	16:12 17:3	66:24,24 67:2
actual 30:13	American 2:7	70:7	18:15 20:7,24	67:11,16 68:23
Adam 2:6,7	animal 47:10	Assembly 38:12	21:2,9,16,20	69:5,7,15,21
13:16	48:15	38:19 39:23	21:21 22:1,6	69:21,25
Adam@bonin	announced	40:3,8 53:17	23:1 24:14	based 17:18
2:9	68:19	60:22 64:11	27:10 28:9	23:6 27:13
add 43:6 59:25	announcing	assertion 28:21	30:12,12 31:7	30:7 51:4 53:7
added 52:19	37:25	assistance 44:18	32:4,11 34:15	54:13
70:10	answer 47:13	ASSOCIATES	35:25 40:21	basically 5:23
adding 53:21	anybody 23:23	2:2	41:1,3,12 46:3	19:12,22 48:25
70:18	anyway 52:16	assume 26:2	46:13 49:16,20 49:21 50:8	64:13
addition 43:7	AOPC 20:1	assuming 9:13	53:25 54:12	batches 69:25
additional 45:4	appeal 23:20	63:8,10	57:8,13,25	bears 13:22
60:17 65:5	27:24,25 34:23	attempt 27:24	59:6,21 62:25	beef 57:25
address 17:1,12	66:16 68:25	attended 3:8	64:12	behalf 4:20 5:4
17:14 18:20	appealed 23:17	attention 41:10	balloting 39:1	13:17 43:7
22:9,15 25:23	27:22 37:2	43:11 52:9	ballots 3:14,24	believe 3:6 4:14
addressed 29:21	APPEARAN	58:5 62:23	3:25 4:2,14,16	6:18 24:1,5,23
adequate 39:9	2:1	attorney 4:19 13:17 51:22	6:4,8,12,15,21	25:3 33:12,25 40:15 43:8
adequately	appears 27:4 60:7		6:25 7:9,17,18	
39:14	appellate 70:12	authentically 14:12	8:8,24 9:14	53:1,20 54:23 56:24 57:5
adhere 39:12	application 42:9	authority 37:8	10:22 12:6,7,9	58:4 61:8,17
adjourned 71:5	application 42.9	authorize 44:20	12:22 13:9,25	71:3
administration	46:3,3,7	authorize 44.20 automatic 66:12	13:25 14:11	Benyo 1:21 3:10
41:6	applied 43:24	availability 42:8	18:1 28:11,18	6:11 7:2,11,13
advice 32:8	applied 43.24 apply 46:13 52:5	avoid 37:5 39:15	31:14,16,16	7:16,24 8:7,13
advised 57:5	uppi j 10.13 32.3	, a, oia 5 / .5 5 / .15	, , -	7.10,210.7,13
L	l	l	I	I

8:19 9:2,8,13	33:11 34:19	bright-line 23:5	cases 6:1 10:19	change 28:23
9:16,19,24	35:6,15,21	bring 55:10 58:5	16:6 17:22	30:8 52:17
10:3,6,17,20	36:19,23 37:1	63:17	27:6	53:22 57:7
10:23 11:4,11	37:14,14 38:23	bringing 45:17	cast 3:15 6:14,25	58:4
11:15,22 12:12	40:15 42:14	Broad 2:8	7:17 9:1,6,14	changed 53:20
12:14,16,24	43:11 47:9	broke 31:6	10:7,13 15:10	changes 28:4
13:2,5,10 14:4	48:6,9 52:16	brought 45:21	17:3 29:25	70:12
14:9,14,18,24	53:23 56:21	46:4 62:23	casting 67:20	changing 40:10
15:2,14 16:16	61:18 62:20	Building 2:7	68:10	charge 8:1
16:19,21,23	64:7 71:2,5	Bureau 56:25	categories 35:8	checked 47:3
17:19 18:3	72:12	57:4	41:18,19 47:22	Chief 1:21
20:14,21 21:3	Board's 34:23	busy 25:17	category 4:15	choice 31:9
21:7,12,18,24	35:10		7:3 9:20 12:15	56:20
22:4,8,10,12	boards 39:8	C	34:11 35:1	choosing 10:10
22:17 26:7	Bob 16:8	C 24:1,1	36:24 41:20	circumstance
34:6,7,18 35:5	body 60:11	call 3:1 25:10	58:19	7:25 12:2
36:1 40:13	bold 40:25	26:2 43:10	caused 19:23	circumstances
41:17 44:14	bolder 54:4	campaign 1:6	causing 18:6	11:15
46:21 47:21	Bonin 2:6,7	2:9 33:21	Center 1:12	cited 17:18,23
48:2,8,14,22	13:12,16,16	canceled 49:20	certain 3:14,24	18:20
49:2,7,12,14	14:7,10,15,19	candidate 4:6	27:5	clarification
49:19 50:3,9	20:9 34:22	13:18 19:23	certainly 19:20	61:10
50:14,17,19,22	35:10,13,18	64:5	29:16 30:9	clarify 39:24
51:1,6,10,15	36:21 37:11,17	candidates	44:13	40:3 67:11
51:18,24 52:6	42:19,23 43:2	19:13 20:2	certification	clarity 40:6,17
52:11,19,25	43:23 44:4,8	63:1	36:22 37:4,15	clear 5:15 14:20
53:4 56:1,5,8	44:13,22,24	canvass 37:20	66:18,18,22	36:6 39:19
56:15 58:3,17	45:3,9,13 46:6	69:18	67:4,19,22	45:19 60:23
58:22 61:21,24	46:11,16 52:8	canvassing	68:12	70:15
62:3,9,13,23	52:13,22 53:3	46:22 47:8,12	certify 66:15	clearest 37:13
66:9 67:14,17	53:11 54:6,19	capable 70:8	72:10	clearly 19:15
67:23 68:4,8	55:8,17 56:9	caps 41:1	Chairman 1:18	54:14
68:17 69:2,12	60:13 61:16	care 34:4 38:11	68:20	clears 29:2
69:16,23 70:5	62:1 65:10,16	case 5:14,17,17	challenge 3:16	Clerk- 1:21
70:13,20,23	65:19 69:9,13	6:19 10:8	3:21,23,24	close 66:10
71:3	Boockvar 45:24	17:18,20,23,24	8:15 9:20 24:7	co-members
best 55:18	book 6:14 10:2	18:19,25 19:2	25:10 33:18,20	31:15
better 41:8	12:9,10,22	19:8,8,9,11,11	34:4 35:3	Code 31:1 36:16
53:16	27:7 29:19	19:16,21 20:3	36:20 45:18,19	36:18 37:12
bigger 54:3	30:2,19 34:12	22:20 23:8,10	45:22 56:18	38:20 39:24
birth 16:25	58:24 64:9	26:24 27:9,18	challenged	48:3 59:1
61:19,23,24	bound 29:6	27:19,21 28:5	31:13 32:1,8	Cohen 1:6 2:9
bit 13:20	box 66:6 69:25	28:7,11,22	34:3 69:4	2:12 13:18
blamed 6:22	boxes 69:5	29:6,17,21	challenges 1:5	43:6,7,7,13,18
blinking 58:1	break 53:10	30:5,25 31:3	3:13 24:6	44:12 62:4
block 41:9,10	57:22	32:6 35:19	36:17 46:2,6,9	65:4,8
board 1:2,11,17	breakdown 18:9	38:14 39:4,16	46:12	Cohen's 33:21
3:2 4:6 6:16,20	19:17,19 30:21	42:4 45:24	challenging	35:7
28:13 32:13	32:11	54:9 59:2	46:17	Coleman 1:5 2:5

Page 75

_				
3:17,18 4:4,9	34:19 37:14	9:2,9,15,16,18	50:25 52:1	10:18,21 11:1
5:17,18 4.4,7	47:9 48:6,9	9:23 10:1,2,3	62:22 64:19	11:5,12,17
colorable 29:20	computer 18:17	11:3,4,5,11,14	county 1:1,11,12	12:18,21,25
come 4:11 26:12	18:17 70:3	12:12,14 14:6	1:17 3:2 5:18	13:3 16:5,10
33:10 35:9	concern 5:7	14:9,18 16:2	8:9 10:19	16:15,17 17:15
40:2 47:3	28:18	16:18,19 18:14	11:19 18:5	17:18,22 18:8
57:17 62:20	concerned 37:15	20:6,14 21:23	19:9,21 31:4	18:21 19:1,5
64:12 66:7	concerns 5:12	22:8,17 28:8	39:8 40:16,19	19:20 20:17
71:1,5	concluded 71:6	43:2 44:8,12	41:11,14 43:25	21:5,10,19
comes 48:6	concurrence	44:24 46:12	54:5,10	22:3,20 30:25
58:15	38:14 60:15	48:7,13 49:12	county's 30:1	45:16 49:23,24
coming 9:19	concurring	49:15 50:17	42:7	50:6,10 51:3,9
28:10	58:10 59:3	51:1,5,6 52:7	couple 12:18	51:12,16 55:24
commencing	confidence	52:25,25 56:5	16:5 25:12	56:3,6,12
1:14 66:14	39:13	56:8 59:12	course 53:24	59:24 68:20,22
Common 13:18	confirmed 36:1	61:21 67:2,14	58:12	69:8
37:3 70:11	confused 49:25	72:13	court 5:24 13:18	Dan 3:5
Commonwealth	confusion 55:6	correctly 9:8	19:3 22:21	dangerous 55:10
1:1 5:21 17:2	consequence	10:24 11:2,13	23:2,7,11,17	DANIEL 1:18
23:7,11,17	39:15 60:8	11:25 12:6,7	23:18 27:24,25	data 70:2
29:14 40:6	consequences	13:24 31:7	28:20 29:14	date 16:25 35:25
60:20 66:9,10	39:11	56:4 57:21	30:4 37:2,3,19	36:8 38:16
66:22 67:5,12	consider 36:19	counsel 63:3	37:24 38:1,17	41:9 42:18
68:3,4	36:23	count 3:14,14,24	40:2 45:25	43:5,10,15,17
communication	consideration	4:13 5:7 6:12	51:20 58:10	43:19,20 44:6
61:11	14:23 64:11	6:21 8:25	59:1,9 60:9	44:10 45:1,5,7
Company 24:21	considered 18:9	11:14 13:22	63:5 66:10,22	45:12,20 47:5
compare 14:5	considering 64:9	16:1 29:9	67:5 68:3,5	47:19,20 48:20
compared 40:20	consistency	31:13 32:1,15	70:10,12	49:11 50:4,6,6
comparisons	15:12	33:19 35:8	Court's 23:7	51:7 52:10,12
40:19	consistent 57:12	36:25 48:22,23	42:4 60:1	52:23 53:9,22
compelled 38:4	59:14	49:4 51:14,15	covers 28:19	57:3 58:2,14
compelling 38:5	conspicuous	53:15 57:15	COVID 24:15	59:4,11,17,19
38:5,6	39:10	60:16 61:19,25	39:2	59:20 61:12,13
complete 47:19	constitute 19:18	67:12 69:9	Cowen 23:25	61:23,24 62:9
54:23,23 66:20	construction	counted 8:9	24:1,5,13,20	62:10,11,13,14
completed 54:24	60:3	10:25,25 11:2	25:9,22	62:25,25 64:6
completely	consulting 51:11	13:4,5 31:16	Crazy 62:8	65:17 66:4,5
19:10 20:3	contact 49:19	31:17 32:14	critical 52:10	dated 43:9,12,13
49:10,25	contacted 49:17	33:4,22 39:6	crucial 37:24	44:4 61:8
completing 39:9	contained 72:11	41:2,4 44:23	curiosity 13:8	65:12
compliance	contest 4:15	45:22 47:7	current 19:11	dates 47:12 54:2
62:24	45:17	49:22 50:12,16	D	61:20
compliant 43:20	contested 19:15	50:20,21 51:18	Daday 2:3 3:19	day 15:23 36:4
comply 32:5,12 48:20 49:10	controls 42:4	54:13 56:2,14	4:9,17,19,20	46:23 47:6,7
	copy 17:23	56:16 59:11	5:13,14 6:6,8	47:17 48:16,19
complying 55:21	18:25	62:2,3 63:23 66:25 67:1	9:10,12,17,22	66:16
comports 60:2 computation	Corey 24:1 correct 8:19,20	counting 5:2	9:25 10:4,14	days 46:18 68:11
Computation	0.17,20	Counting 5.2	7.23 10.1,11	00.11
	l	l	l	I

deadline 46:14	47:4,12 48:3	doctrinaire	elections 1:2,17	21:14 26:5,17
46:15,17	detriment 19:14	60:10	1:21 3:3 21:11	29:8,13 31:18
deal 68:25	deviance 42:17	doing 41:8 55:9	52:16 56:25	33:2,5 46:9,15
dealing 11:6	difference 25:4	Donohue 38:1	59:18 63:6	46:19 47:14
decide 38:18	28:15,17 30:20	Doris 1:19 3:4	elector 36:7	49:17 51:21
decided 9:22	different 19:10	Dougherty 38:8	39:10	53:6 57:11,17
19:3,21 37:22	19:15 20:3	drafter's 60:5	electors 39:9,14	62:8 63:12,20
45:24 61:18	33:16 35:8	drawn 41:10	electronic 25:2	64:22 65:14,21
70:19	46:21 47:10	52:9	27:7 58:24	65:25 67:10
deciding 38:14	48:15 58:19	drew 42:3	70:9	68:6,14 70:25
decision 23:10	diligent 39:13	Drive 1:23	electronically	ERWIN 6:4
23:17 26:13	Diplomate 1:16	dropped 41:22	25:25 70:4	especially 54:13
29:11 37:1,23	72:18	dubious 61:1	eligibility 61:14	ESQ 1:18,20 2:3
42:15 51:20,22	direction 57:23	due 6:13 35:8	eligible 17:8	2:7
52:4,8 53:7	directory 59:20	40:13	enacted 40:10	essentially 18:9
58:10 59:6	disallows 27:10	duly 36:2	ensure 60:11	event 70:9
61:7 62:21	discount 31:10		entertain 31:14	evidence 23:3
66:23	53:14	<u>E</u>	entire 5:1	54:14 55:15,18
declaration 36:8	discounted 18:1	E 24:1,1	envelope 8:4	72:10
39:10 40:2,5	49:25 50:1,12	earlier 59:6	11:23 21:24	exactly 5:23
declare 17:6	discrete 37:3	60:14 65:8	22:2 36:9	12:3 29:19
declined 28:1	discussed 67:12	Ed 4:21	40:20 41:21	43:17 54:1
deemed 6:2	67:18	Edward 2:5,13	42:6 43:9,14	example 58:5
38:17	discussing 33:24	4:20,23	44:16 47:20	exception 42:3
defect 6:23	41:24	effect 60:6 64:13	48:21 49:11	62:24
23:12,14 27:16	discussion 32:19	67:18	52:17 54:8,11	exciting 70:25
50:24	56:21 63:18	effective 51:5	54:20,21,24	excluded 60:21
defective 4:2	68:13	either 8:1 10:25	58:15 61:13	69:11
20:5	disposal 60:11	18:17 44:25	64:16 65:13	expect 53:15,16
deliberate 62:20	disqualification	48:22	envelopes 36:7	expects 60:18
denied 23:21	39:7,16	election 1:11 3:7 3:8,15 5:1 6:10	41:14 42:15	expedite 34:22
27:25	disqualified	6:16,19,25	53:5	35:21
Department	9:18,23	12:8,10 15:7	envision 53:19	experience
39:21 51:11	dissent 38:7,15	17:4,7,9,9	err 26:9,10	39:25 44:14,15
56:25 57:4,9	dissenting 23:9	20:11,25 26:21	error 6:16,18,19	explained 8:1
59:15 63:6	distinction	28:13 32:13	7:3,8 11:16	explanation
66:13	16:21 28:14,14	36:4,12,15,18	18:5 24:23	9:11
depending	28:17 29:21	37:12 38:19	25:6 29:18	express 57:13
10:24 48:23	30:4,8,11,15	39:8,24 40:9	30:19 31:5	extended 67:25
described 17:19	30:20 31:4	41:6 45:21	34:11 38:2	extensively
18:4	41:13	46:5,17,23	41:21 63:24 errors 41:18	36:11 extra 64:6
desire 39:1	distinguish	47:6,7,17 48:3		extra 04:0
detained 4:10 determination	29:17 district 4:25	48:16,19 51:13	49:15 58:12 Ervin 1:19 3:4	$\overline{\mathbf{F}}$
4:1 33:18	15:7 17:7,9	51:20,25 52:2	6:9 8:23 9:3,5	facing 54:22
4:1 33:18 determine 7:7	34:17	55:9,11 57:3,4	9:9 11:18 12:3	fact 6:13 10:8
8:17 61:13	Division 3:7	57:24 59:1,10	12:13,15,17	14:2 17:19,20
determined	docketed 5:21	61:4,7 62:2,7	15:17 16:8,13	18:11 27:4
29:24 34:18	5:22	64:7,7 72:13	20:15,19,23	29:18 33:15,16
27.27 JT.10	J.22		20.13,17,23	
	l	l	I	I

33:17 36:25	34:23 58:12	40:3,7 53:17	35:21 39:17	69:20
42:14 45:17	60:24 66:21	60:22 61:4	49:1 52:5,13	Highland 4:24
52:15 62:1	67:4,19	64:10	53:8,14,14,15	historic 39:1
63:23	fit 39:24	Georgia 4:11	56:20,23 57:2	holding 23:14
facts 8:22	fits 4:14 42:2	getting 8:21	59:13 60:18	honestly 55:8
factual 9:11	five 68:11	giant 41:8	good 27:23 67:3	hope 39:23
30:21	flap 41:3 54:8	give 3:20 4:18	Government	53:16
failed 18:17	55:1	11:9 16:21	1:12	hopefully 67:24
failure 22:25	focus 38:23	17:23 21:1,16	great 12:6 40:8	Howells 19:8
27:15 32:2	focused 42:8	26:11 40:5	guess 4:8 17:17	hundred 56:10
33:14 39:11	focusing 50:3	57:22	25:1 47:21	
fair 69:1	fold 54:25	given 12:1,8,9	49:24 62:20	I
faithfully 60:5	folded 55:1	15:8 17:19	guidance 56:24	idea 3:20 13:3
fall 7:3 34:11	folds 54:8	19:11,22 20:7	59:15 63:5	identify 13:14
67:24,24	follow 32:3 54:1	20:24 21:21		III 1:15 72:17
falling 18:4	following 41:3	22:1 25:17	H	imposes 60:9
far 37:15	54:13 55:20	27:5 28:22	half 66:11	include 22:23
fault 6:15,20 9:1	font 40:24	30:16 32:6	hand 15:17	66:23,24 69:4
12:8,10 15:21	footnote 46:1	34:23 35:21	35:15	included 48:4
32:13	forced 6:14 9:6	39:1 49:14	handling 42:4	69:23
favor 32:21	forgot 15:25	57:6 61:1,2	hang 8:22	including 39:10
64:20 65:24	form 40:4,5 56:4	gives 17:11	happen 8:11	67:11 68:13
Fearless 24:20	forms 19:24	53:22	10:18 18:16	inclusion 59:4
feel 26:19 57:12	53:16	giving 53:10	26:14 31:3	incorrect 19:23
fields 52:21	forth 15:15	63:21 64:5	40:21	26:20
figure 11:8	forward 37:7	Glaessman 1:19	happened 8:17	incorrectly
file 58:15	39:17 52:5,6	3:4 27:1,3,17	10:21 18:10	19:24 20:8
filed 3:16 23:20	53:8,14,15	27:21 28:2,4	19:9,10 20:6	incredibly 37:18
fill 11:13,23,24	57:2 60:18	29:2,5 30:10	26:6,8 40:7	40:14
19:24 21:1,8	four 66:25 67:2	30:22 31:24	62:5	incurred 60:12
21:25 36:7	70:18,22	32:17,23 44:2	happening	indicated 17:8
filled 10:24 11:2	Friday 4:11	44:6,9,25 45:7	38:24	indicates 53:8
12:6,7,11 20:1	45:20 46:4,25	45:10 47:15,24	happens 57:19	56:25
20:7,8 21:15	47:9 48:5,14	48:5,11,18,25	happy 24:11	Indicating 44:11
21:22 57:20	48:14	49:5,9,13	35:15 37:11	individual 20:11
filling 25:22	full 4:18 23:24	56:19 58:7,9	hard 40:14	ineffective 45:23
44:18 54:21,24	55:21	58:20,23 59:5	hear 55:4	Info@portnof
fills 17:14 22:7	fully 72:11	59:18 63:16,25	heard 13:12,20	2:4
final 66:11,17	function 40:4	64:23 65:23	21:6 33:17	information
66:18 67:21	future 59:18	66:1,5 67:8,21	63:1,2,3	17:11 19:12,22
68:12	62:12	68:2	hearing 1:10	19:23 22:11
financial 19:25	02.12		9:20 13:20	27:5 28:22
findings 47:1	G	Glenlivet 1:23	32:21 62:19	29:22 30:15
fine 53:21	Gallagher 1:15	go 24:12,15 32:4 36:9 37:11	68:18 71:6	34:5 35:6 56:4
finished 68:9	1:23 72:17	58:9	72:13	56:13
Fire 24:20	Gallagherrep		hectic 25:18	informed 39:14
first 4:8 15:3	1:25	goes 60:16,17 69:24	held 1:10	infrequently
17:10 21:20,25	general 10:14	going 13:19 29:5	help 45:8	25:15
21:25 28:20	38:12,19 39:23	31:3 35:19,20	high 67:20 68:10	initial 22:6
21.23 26.20	50.12,17 57.25	31.3 33.19,20	IIIgii 07.20 00.10	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Ī	I	I	1	1

	I	I	I	I
inserted 54:2	37:19 55:3	24:7 25:11,11	41:11 54:5,10	46:3,10,13,18
instance 33:19	issued 10:16	25:25 27:8	length 38:22	47:11,18 64:12
instructed 20:11	12:22 40:16	29:13,16,20	Let's 34:5 63:16	65:14,16 69:21
instruction	61:3	35:19 36:5,22	letter 42:25	main 35:19
25:13	issues 18:16	36:23,25 39:7	level 29:14	maintain 60:1
instructions 8:3	37:4 68:25	39:17 40:12,14	40:17 41:20	majority 23:15
8:4 21:11,13	items 64:12	41:5,8,17,21	Lexis 5:21	59:9
24:9 25:16		41:23 44:14	light 39:25	making 26:15
42:6 55:19,22	<u>J</u>	52:15,16 53:18	lights 58:1	mandate 60:3,7
57:25 61:6	James 1:15	53:21 54:9,15	liklihood 60:4	60:8
intended 15:18	72:17	54:21,22,23	line 43:10,15,18	mandated 56:14
57:19 60:6,10	Jane 1:19 3:4	55:9,13,20,21	44:10 45:5,8	mandatory 5:25
intent 26:11,19	64:4	60:19,24 61:1	52:10,23 53:22	17:25 22:22
26:23 46:19	Jarrett 1:5 2:5	61:2 64:3,11	lines 58:2	27:14 28:24
57:13 63:21	Jim 64:2	69:2	lining 39:18	30:6 31:2
intentions 16:2	Jim's 64:3	knowing 25:6	listed 11:20 15:8	38:17 52:4
interest 57:11	John 4:23	known 69:10	16:25	57:10 59:19
interpret 46:25	joined 59:9	knows 41:6	listen 38:12	60:6
60:5	Jonathan 61:6	т	litigation 36:12	mark 54:3
interpretation	JOSHUA 1:20	L L	little 13:20	Marks 61:6
23:7 57:1	judge 21:10	lack 23:12	52:24 68:1	mask 24:4 35:11
59:16 60:2	23:11 66:10,22	language 22:21	lived 39:25	match 64:9
interpreted 57:4	67:13	28:25 30:5	LLC 1:23	matched 27:7
57:10	judgement	31:1 60:6,18	long 39:7 64:6	matching 14:1
interpreting	53:23	late 37:22 58:18	look 14:4 30:7	materially 6:9
59:2	judges 20:10	law 2:2,6 5:14	37:12 38:15	matter 1:10 5:10
invalid 4:2 23:1	judgment 26:15	5:17 6:19 17:6	53:17 54:10	5:15 18:12
invalidate 59:21	38:1	17:18 23:8	60:19 64:15	23:23 30:24
investigated	June 61:8	27:9,18,19,21	70:18	31:12 33:9,10
11:25	Justice 38:1,1,2	27:23 28:5,7 29:6 31:1 32:6	looked 10:6 63:4	33:13,13,17
investigation	38:8,8,8,13 42:3 57:1		looking 22:20	34:3 37:20
10:23	58:10 59:2,8	37:4 51:11 55:9 64:12	35:7 47:24	42:16 44:19
involve 3:13	60:14 64:9	lawful 41:25	looks 23:19	62:16,21 63:7
involved 3:21		lawyer 52:14	40:20	matters 3:7,13
4:4 8:6 24:7	justices 37:25 38:7	lean 26:18,23	lord 41:5	35:3,4
28:11	justify 8:18	leave 70:15	lots 67:20 68:10	maximize 60:4
involvement	Justily 0.10	left 3:5	<u>M</u>	Mazin 1:20 3:10
28:12	K	legal 53:7 63:3	machine 11:7	3:12,22 4:5
involves 33:13	K 1:18	legally 15:19	20:4,6 69:19	17:17 18:2,19
involving 59:2	keep 12:25 69:3	legislation 40:10	mail 10:7 13:25	18:23 19:4,18
iPad 25:2,25	69:14	legislature 39:20	35:7,23,24,25	22:19 23:19
irregularities	kept 68:23 69:25	60:10	46:22 47:2	27:12,19,23
38:4	70:1	legitimate 34:20	mail-in 24:14	28:3,16 29:11
issue 6:13 11:6	kind 49:24	legitimately	28:9 30:12	29:15 30:14
13:13,19,22	53:10 55:7	12:1	37:20 39:1	33:12,25 35:17
16:4 18:3	know 5:9 7:20	Lehigh 1:1,11	40:10 41:12	36:14 37:8,16
19:14 20:5,13	7:21,21,24	1:12,17 3:2	42:22 43:23	50:23 52:3
26:20 34:1	12:24 15:19	19:21 40:16,19	44:18 45:11	54:17 55:3,14
36:4,11 37:6,7	19:5 20:10	17.21 70.10,17	77.10 73.11	56:23 58:25
	<u> </u>	l	l	l

	1	1	1	1
59:7,12 62:14	48:2,24 49:3,8	N 13:17,17 24:1	0	59:3,23,25
McCarthy 1:18	50:4 51:7	name 4:18 13:16	O 13:16 24:1,1	63:4 64:10
3:1,5,20 4:3,7	66:13	16:24 17:11,14	o'clock 1:14	opportunity
4:17,21 5:3,6,9	meeting 3:2,11	22:7 23:24,25	45:20 47:16	15:20,21 24:15
6:24 7:6,12,15	35:6	names 11:20	objection 5:7	49:15 63:22
7:20 8:5,10,16	members 3:3	nature 3:23 11:8	objections 5:13	opposed 32:25
8:21 9:4,10	35:15	28:24 33:20	obligation 32:5	41:2,11 43:14
12:20 13:7,11	method 11:6	34:4	obviously 13:22	55:6 64:25
13:14 14:21,25	middle 38:13	necessarily 8:18	15:17 37:24	order 3:2
15:11,16 16:3	military 66:25	necessary 70:6	40:8 45:16	originally 24:14
16:20 17:10,16	67:15	need 52:9 53:25	46:16 61:3	outcome 30:8
18:24 22:5,9	minor 25:14	66:15,25	62:6	outer 36:7 40:19
22:11,13,18	38:2,4	needed 44:17	October 61:10	41:21 42:6
23:16,22 24:3	misinformation	needful 39:22	offer 24:11	52:17 65:13
24:12,18 25:8	27:5	needs 15:9	office 4:4,5 7:9	outside 35:24,25
25:19 26:4,16	misleading 23:4	neglected 22:14	8:6,8,11 14:1	47:19 49:15
26:25 28:7	28:21 29:22	Neither 25:15	20:25 26:22	54:20
29:1,4,7 30:23	30:15	never 25:7 47:7	64:8 66:16	outstanding
31:11,20,25	missed 25:13	nevertheless	offices 1:11 2:6	67:2
32:18,24 33:3	26:2 56:10	32:5	oh 5:19 27:17	overall 8:8 37:5
33:7,23 34:2	57:21	non-involvem	okay 4:7 5:9,19	overarching
34:16 35:2,12	missing 47:20	28:13	7:21 8:10,17	30:5
42:16,21,24	47:25 50:7	nondated 51:19	8:21 9:3,22	overlooked
43:4,12,16,21	58:14	noon 67:20	10:21 11:5,17	23:13
44:19,23 45:11	mistake 8:3 11:7	68:10	12:17 13:11	override 28:25
45:16 46:8	26:21 30:2,2	normal 24:22	14:7,19 15:16	
49:23 50:11,15	41:16 57:14,19	25:5	17:10 18:23	<u>P</u>
50:18,21 53:24	mistakenly	normally 26:1	20:4,23 22:18	P 1:15 2:3 72:17
55:23 56:11,17	11:20	61:15	23:22 26:4,16	p.m 36:3 42:25
57:16 58:7	mistakes 24:25	North 1:12	26:25 29:1,4,7	46:4 68:16,17
59:8 61:5,22	41:16	Notary 72:18	30:22,23 31:12	P.S 37:2
61:25 62:11,15	Monday 1:13	notation 57:9	32:18 33:3,9	PA 1:24 2:4,8
62:19 63:14,18	67:22 68:11,16	note 23:2 54:7	45:10 48:18	packet 40:18
64:18,24 65:2	68:17	58:25	49:9,13,23	pandemic 39:2
65:6,17,24	morning 3:12	noted 38:23	50:10,18 52:22	panel 23:11
66:2,7 67:6,15	47:17	61:10	53:3 56:3	paper 22:6
68:15,21 69:1	motion 31:14,22	notes 72:12	57:16 58:20	68:19
69:14 71:1,4	31:23,24,25	notice 39:21	63:18 64:18	paperwork
mean 15:24 16:1	32:15,20,22	54:16 60:22	68:14 69:8,13	57:21
16:8 19:5	63:7,7,9,15,19	notified 49:21	omission 59:20	paragraph
22:19 26:8	66:21 67:8	November 1:14	once 53:6 69:24	52:24
28:16 29:16	move 31:18,20	3:8,15 37:21	ones 49:10 58:18	parenthesis
31:5 34:19	37:7 63:12	37:22 43:1	70:19	52:11,20 54:4
36:10 39:5	moved 32:18	51:20,22 52:1	open 49:4 69:9	parenthetical
54:12,17 57:18	64:18	52:7 53:19	opinion 5:20	53:21 57:8
64:5 69:4	moving 52:5,6	57:2	23:9 38:14,15	Parkland 4:6
means 38:10,16	Mundy 38:8	number 7:10,13	38:21 51:3	part 3:6 15:12
media 40:22		12:24 13:7	53:18 56:12	15:12 18:5,5
meet 41:15 47:4	N	33:10 62:5	57:1 58:11	22:1 25:6

				rage ou
28:13 36:24	placed 6:1	prepared 4:11	70:17	provisionally
37:13 38:24	places 5:17 7:10	34:24 35:16	proper 21:3	7:8 9:1 11:19
52:10 59:23	7:18 8:2 9:7	prescribe 40:5	58:16,24	11:24 18:7
60:1,14 64:14	15:2 31:8	present 2:11 3:3	properly 8:24	30:1,18 34:21
particular 5:15	plain 46:2	4:13,22 5:1	11:23 12:11	provisions 46:2
8:6,11 19:16	plant 10.2 players 5:10	51:8	15:7 17:7 36:2	proviso 64:15
26:7,24 37:17	Pleas 13:19 37:3	presuming 13:4	42:10 43:24	prudent 61:9
41:5	70:11	prestry 45:19	46:13 60:21	public 1:10 57:5
passage 38:25	plus 55:20 66:24	previous 61:9	68:24	68:18 72:18
passive 41:2	70:22	previously 65:12	prospectively	purpose 3:10
pattern 17:19,20	point 33:5 37:5	primarily 13:19	52:5 59:13	60:25
33:15,16,17	45:18 56:23	primary 51:14	proven 64:7	purposes 69:22
pause 36:14	63:20 66:12	principled 60:1	provide 14:16	pursuant 3:6
penalty 17:6	pointed 52:9	print 52:23	18:25 35:5	put 6:17 15:24
Pennsylvania	53:8	53:21 54:3	39:8 45:14	40:22 47:3
1:1,13 5:20	pointing 41:9	printed 8:18	60:17 69:6	50:12 61:22
17:2 45:24	54:2	36:8 42:6	provided 14:12	66:4
63:5	poll 6:14 8:2	prior 15:9 36:22	17:2 22:20	putting 61:12
people 7:7 8:1	10:2 12:22	37:4,14 47:12	40:16 45:5	putting 01.12
15:18 22:13	27:7 29:19,22	probably 30:19	54:15 61:19	Q
32:3 49:18	30:2,16,19	42:24	63:6	qualification
55:1 57:18	34:12 58:24	problem 10:1		8:14
	64:9	12:23 30:17	providing 21:11 21:13	qualified 38:21
percentage 66:12		34:12 40:12	provision 17:25	57:24
	polling 8:2 popped 18:12	problems 38:25	22:23,24 28:19	question 9:12
period 5:2 61:10 61:14 66:17	portion 16:11	39:19 41:6	36:15,16,18	10:15 14:10,14
69:18	61:5	64:3	37:9 59:1	14:15 17:17
permission	PORTNOFF		provisional 3:14	20:15 25:1
35:11	2:2	procedure 21:4 21:8	3:24 4:14,15	26:5 35:22
permitted 70:17	position 3:21,23	procedures 32:3	5:16,18 6:1,8	36:9 41:25
permitting	6:17 8:12	proceed 26:15	6:15,25 7:5,16	42:1,2 47:13
59:10	possible 26:10	34:25	7:18 8:24 9:6	52:15 54:25
	post 36:12 40:22			58:17,21 59:22
person 11:9,12 15:5 18:6,12	67:20	proceeded 51:25 proceeding	9:14 10:13,16 10:22 11:9,13	60:15,25 69:17
20:24 29:23		proceeding 26:12	10:22 11:9,13	questions 12:19
30:17	postmark 42:25 potentially 6:18	proceedings 1:8	13:8,25 14:22	16:6 24:8
petition 23:20	29:22	72:11	15:4,22,23	34:24 41:19
Philadelphia 2:8		process 18:10,15	16:12 18:14	quick 26:5
40:20 41:7	power 38:3	19:17,19 22:12	20:7,24 21:20	quite 33:16
53:25 54:1	pre-canvass 69:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20:7,24 21:20	37:10
		24:10,17,22		quote 38:22 61:8
physical 69:15 70:8	pre-canvassed 47:6	25:4,5,14	24:16,22 27:10	
picture 40:23	1	26:14 31:6,6 37:1 40:11	28:11,18 30:12 31:7 32:4,10	R
piece 22:6	pre-canvassing	42:9 46:24	34:15 36:17,17	R 1:19 24:1
piece 22:6 pieces 25:23	5:2 51:1,2		· ·	race 13:23 37:18
pleces 25:23 place 14:17	pre-election	47:8,10 48:9	38:10 41:23 43:22 46:24	66:10,22 70:11
18:18 30:12	46:14,15	49:7 50:25		raised 36:13
	precincts 8:5	52:10 55:5	47:1 48:16	raising 41:18
37:13 45:5,14	prefer 34:25	57:24 61:15	59:6 65:11	ramping 38:25
60:24 65:20	preference 26:9	processed 28:10	67:1	random 8:20,20
	I	I	I	

				1490 01
15:13,14	5:7 36:16 37:3	requirement	59:7 62:15,19	40:1 63:14,16
ratio 58:11	39:11 55:6	32:9 38:16	65:13,19 71:4	64:17 67:10
read 22:21	regards 18:16	40:2 41:11	right-hand	seconded 32:19
28:16 52:3	36:6 64:4	42:13 50:7	43:14 45:1	64:19
58:6 60:6	register 17:1	51:9 52:20	Robert 2:3 4:19	Secretary 39:8
reading 61:5	registered 1:16	56:10 57:7	room 68:18	45:25 46:1
ready 47:23	15:7 17:7	59:19 60:24,25	70:15	53:1
54:11	34:13,14 36:2	requirements	rote 25:24	section 45:8
real 40:12	43:25 72:18	39:12 47:5	rule 23:5 31:15	46:22
realize 25:13	registration	48:3,24 49:3,8	ruled 5:24	secure 10:10
really 31:2	1:21 14:5	50:5 51:7	ruling 34:23	see 18:10 21:14
38:22 41:10	reject 61:11	requires 46:2	65:4	25:10 32:13
53:12 55:10	relatively 25:14	requiring 32:3	run 25:11	38:3 40:18
60:23 70:15	relevant 8:11	resided 17:1	rushed 25:17	54:15,18 55:1
reason 7:17 32:1	relied 19:13	resolve 37:6,6		seen 37:10 61:16
34:13,20 36:22	remarks 35:14	respect 3:7 4:3	S	70:19
38:6 50:1	remember 25:22	5:6,10 8:6	S 1:20	sees 39:23
55:11 61:11	remembered	23:23 32:7	satisfy 13:8	segregated 70:4
reasoning 23:1	25:16	40:13	saying 11:18	segregation
34:14	remembering	respectfully	12:5 18:13	69:22 70:9
reasons 38:5,5	13:24	42:11	20:10 22:15	Senate 37:18
recall 25:19,20	remind 61:9	response 9:11	32:22,25 44:3	sense 67:5
received 36:3	reminders 42:9	62:18 65:1	47:16 53:25	sent 10:10
44:1 50:15	remove 35:11	rest 33:8 68:24	55:14 61:18	separate 22:24
58:18 61:2	69:6,7	69:20	64:21	43:19 46:22
64:8,20	rendered 63:4	rests 53:13	Saylor 38:8	47:25 48:8
receiving 15:9	renders 4:1	result 7:8 28:23	says 16:24 17:24	49:1,5,10
recited 17:21	22:25	60:9,10 66:24	22:25 27:12,13	58:11 59:23
recognize 60:7	Reporter 1:16	70:12	31:2 39:20	68:23 69:3,19
recommended	72:18	resulted 30:16	43:19 52:24	69:25 70:1
10:12	REPORTING	results 66:15	53:11 54:3	separated 49:3
reconsider	1:23	69:10,10	60:15	50:14,22 69:15
36:23	representation	returned 55:25	scanned 69:19	69:16
record 4:18	35:7	review 28:1,1	69:21	separately 48:12
28:21 56:22	representative	47:10 51:4	scanner 70:3	separating
recorded 1:15	6:12	reviewing 29:15	scanners 69:20	48:19
recount 37:5	representatives	revising 64:16	scenario 29:14	serious 38:19
66:13,14,19	63:2,3	revisit 42:14	29:19 53:7,19	serves 61:1
67:13,24 68:1	request 3:6	right 3:4 4:7 9:4	schedule 68:7,9	Seventh 1:13
red 58:1	requested 14:2	9:10 12:17	School 4:6	severe 38:24
reduced 41:17	require 62:10	16:4 21:10	scrupulously	showed 10:7
referring 52:22	required 7:4,10	25:8 29:15	39:25	side 26:9,10 33:6
refine 39:24	7:19 14:1	31:21 33:9,23	seal 54:11,24	43:9,14 44:16
refinements	16:11 22:22	34:3 35:2	second 9:20	45:2,6 50:13
39:22	39:15 45:12,14	37:16 42:12	14:16,17 15:6	57:18 64:3
regard 12:22	50:7,9 52:12	43:3,16 44:7	15:10,24 16:1	65:13
35:23 42:7	52:21,24 53:9	44:16 46:8	23:12 24:7	sign 7:9,18
59:4 68:22	54:4 57:3,6,9	47:21 48:18	26:2 32:17	14:25 15:1,3
regarding 3:8	59:14	55:23 56:11	33:13,13 36:15	16:7 17:12

				rage 02
20:12,12,17,19	33:14 51:17	stated 39:22	27:25 37:19,24	text 46:2
20:21 21:9	size 40:24	51:23,24	42:4 45:25	Thank 19:4 35:4
22:2,3,4,14	small 52:23	statement 22:15	51:19 58:10	35:13 70:21
31:8 36:8 54:3	smaller 58:12	23:3 60:14	59:9 63:5	thing 18:14 20:6
58:1	smart 38:11	63:25	sure 10:11 12:3	21:15 30:5
signature 14:1	social 40:22	states 6:19 59:25	12:20 13:21	42:19 54:6
14:16,22 15:6	solely 42:8	states 0.19 39.23	14:2 19:1 21:6	57:21 59:24
15:24 16:1,22	solemnly 16:24	statute 23:5	35:12 49:8,21	things 24:9
16:22,23 17:5	solicitor 1:20	27:13,13 30:6	58:6 70:8,14	26:18 34:22
17:24 20:22	32:7,9 35:16	32:6,12 36:5,6	surprising 62:4	35:21 40:9
21:19 22:4	somebody 10:15	42:17 45:19	swear 16:24	41:7
23:13 25:2	19:15	56:7,14 62:24	sympathies 33:8	think 5:14 6:6
27:6,9,11	somewhat 8:20	statutes 60:5	sympatines 33.6 synopsis 63:4	8:13 16:3
34:10 41:9	sort 25:23,24	statutes 60.3	system 47:3	19:20,25 25:9
42:21 47:19	37:3 47:14	28:19,24,24	49:21 58:14	26:22 27:1,3,7
48:20 49:11	sorting 47:18	37:9 39:21		29:5,16 30:3,4
52:12	sounds 12:4	60:2,3	T	30:25 31:9
signatures 3:25	69:1	stenographica	tabulated 70:10	33:15,20 35:19
5:25 7:4 9:15	speak 62:16	1:15	take 4:8 24:4	36:21 37:12
14:5,12 16:14	speaks 18:3 31:1	stood 27:22	26:22 31:4,18	41:13 45:18
22:21,24,25	specific 8:7	streamline 40:4	31:20 48:6	53:11,18 54:20
27:14,15 30:6	25:16	Street 1:13 2:3,8	70:17	55:8,10,18
32:10 33:15	specifically	4:24	taken 70:2 72:12	56:1,19 57:9
34:9 47:11	18:22 26:1	strictly 32:3	takes 64:11	57:14,22 58:8
58:23 64:8	27:10 28:18	strong 32:9	talked 40:8	59:14 61:17
signed 5:16 6:2	29:23	strong 32.5 stuff 22:2	41:17	63:7 65:21
7:1,22,23 8:24	speed 69:20	stupid 38:11	talking 6:5	67:6,25 68:18
9:6,8 15:9,12	spite 63:23	submit 42:11	16:14 30:10,11	70:19
15:13,22 16:11	split 23:10,10	submitted 13:9	30:14 35:20	thinking 28:5
16:18 17:25	spoke 38:12	35:23 42:1,14	38:9	29:3 55:12
20:1,16 25:11	spot 6:2	46:10	tally 66:11 68:24	thought 55:5,12
25:25 35:24	staff 52:17	subsequently	technical 23:13	65:6
44:3,10	stage 36:20	69:11	26:20 27:16	three 3:3 33:25
signify 32:22,25	stamp 58:15	successfully	42:13 57:14	34:2,7,8,25
57:7 64:21	stance 6:11	55:25 56:2	63:24	35:15 37:25
signing 25:19	stand 12:4 24:3	sufficient 39:4	technically	38:7 43:8 44:9
silver 39:18	standard 28:9	41:13 42:5	47:22	45:1,4 46:18
similar 34:8,8	standing 4:15	53:22 54:15	tell 3:10 23:24	55:20 65:5,7,9
51:16	stands 28:2,3	59:21	24:18,24 34:4	65:10,11 66:3
simple 67:7	start 3:16 47:17	sufficiently	51:21	threshold 41:15
sincere 39:23	47:24 48:19	60:21	tend 26:10,18	throne 38:3
sir 5:8 13:15	49:1	suggested 53:1	term 59:3	thrown 15:25
14:24 23:24	starting 46:25	Suite 2:8	termed 22:22	tight 37:18
24:4,19	state 5:12 37:18	sums 16:3	terms 18:4 40:24	Tim 11:18 13:24
sitting 37:14	37:19,23 39:21	support 28:21	60:19	20:9 46:19
situation 5:24	53:2 56:25	supposed 12:1	Terry 19:11,22	47:16 67:9
10:13 26:7	57:4,9 59:15	18:15 52:14	testified 18:11	time 17:1 24:24
28:8,9,12	60:12 61:6	Supreme 19:2	testimony 55:15	25:1,20 26:2
29:23 30:17	63:6 66:14	23:7,18 27:24	55:17	39:6 40:1,3,22

				rage 05
42:2,5 45:18	40:18 47:22	42:15 46:10	38:23 43:10	6:7 69:17 70:2
51:18 55:13	54:1 66:16	57:14,19,23	52:15 59:25	70:7,14,21
57:13 58:12,16	type 18:16 36:19	62:6 63:17,22	67:11 70:14,23	wife 24:14,23,24
58:22 60:9	54:4 55:6	63:22 65:2	wanted 60:23	25:15
61:2 64:8		68:24	warning 39:4	wishes 62:16
70:16	U	voted 7:8 10:16	42:5	withdraw 34:24
timeline 67:17	undated 45:4	11:9,19,21,24	warnings 39:9	withdrawn 35:3
67:25	underlining	17:6 18:13	39:11	35:4 65:7
timelines 66:13	41:1	24:19 25:7	wasn't 6:16 8:25	withstanding
timeliness 36:4	understand	34:21 57:20	10:8 19:16	57:23
timely 42:14	13:21 20:9	63:9,11	24:21 25:12,17	word 50:11
43:24	36:16 44:16	voter 5:16 6:16	25:18 27:22	55:15
times 25:12	50:23 70:13	6:20,22 7:18	29:19 49:22	worded 27:14
TIMOTHY 1:21	understanding	8:3 10:6,12	way 10:10 22:23	wording 58:3,4
today 3:4,11	3:22 8:4 33:16	11:16 14:13,22	25:7 28:5	words 44:2
4:10,12,22	51:10 59:13	15:3 17:15,16	37:10 52:17	47:15
24:6,9 33:24	understood 33:7	20:11,22 21:15	57:3,20 70:11	work 48:12
35:20 56:18	55:19	22:7 26:9,11	we'll 4:8	worked 31:6
66:16 67:3,12	unfortunately	26:11,13,24	we're 3:5,12	workers 8:2
today's 68:13	4:10 31:8	33:6 34:10	16:14 29:5	works 26:14
Todd 38:1	unity 40:5	41:20 45:8	34:23 35:20	40:14
told 20:2 24:16	unpublished	54:3 61:14	41:18 50:3	wouldn't 11:14
24:21	5:20,21	63:21,21	52:4 53:15	21:11 28:23
tools 60:11	unqualified	voter's 8:25 15:6	56:19 67:11	29:25 30:18
total 69:3	53:14	26:19	We've 63:1,2,3,4	55:4
totals 70:10	uploaded 70:3	voters 6:14 9:5	weather 25:3	wrapping 26:18
track 12:25	upstairs 68:17	11:19 14:2	website 42:7	write-ins 68:9
transcript 1:8	use 27:8 40:25	23:4 24:2,6	68:19	writes 61:7
72:14		33:8 35:23,24	Wecht 38:13	written 35:14
treated 48:11	V	36:2 39:4 41:9	42:3 51:22	61:13
tremendous	verified 25:5	41:15,25 42:5	52:3,8 53:7	wrong 10:4 28:8
32:11	versus 30:17	42:12,20 43:25	59:8	61:12 66:4,6
tries 63:22	VIDEO 1:23	44:15,17 45:14	Wecht's 57:1	
triggers 66:12	view 32:7	49:14 54:14,18	58:11 59:3	X
trouble 29:10,12	viewed 59:19	55:4,10,19,20	60:15 64:9	₹7
38:18	visible 64:16	57:12 60:21	Wednesday	Y
true 21:17 45:12	voice 41:2	61:19,22 64:5	66:15	Y 24:1
trump 23:6	void 6:3	64:17	Wendnesday's	yeah 8:19 45:3
try 35:21 38:18	vote 4:25 10:11	votes 25:14 29:9	68:10	45:13 57:18
trying 7:6 8:16	11:14 15:18,18	31:10 39:5	went 24:13,21	68:8
25:9	15:19,20,22	voting 4:25	28:20 29:23	year 10:19 37:23
turn 3:9	16:2 17:7,9	18:18 46:23	39:12 64:13	39:19 40:9,16
twice 10:11	18:6,12 24:13	47:8 57:18	weren't 12:11	41:14 58:13
25:20	24:16 25:3		25:17 38:20	64:15
two 3:13,25 7:4	26:1,10 29:24	W	51:5	year's 36:12
14:12 15:2	29:25 30:1,18	W 24:1	west 4:24 37:18	40:9
16:13 24:6	30:24 31:11,19	Walnut 2:3	White 2:5,13	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
27:14 30:6	31:21 32:4	want 8:25 13:21	4:12,13,20,21	Zach 13:17
32:10 33:3,14	33:3 34:15,19	14:19 24:4	4:23,23 5:5,8	Zachary 1:6 2:9
	35:17,18 42:10			Zaciiai y 1.0 2.9

Page 84

		Page	84
2 12 12 6 5	1 45 2 55 22		
2:12 43:6,7	45:3 55:20		
zero 65:2	62:22 64:19		
0	260 66:23,24		
	67:3 68:22		
1	3		
1 61:8			
1030 2:3	3 37:21 65:2		
1058 37:21	3-1-3 37:23		
1036 57.21 11 1:14	3157 37:2		
11-6 4:25	366-2980 1:24		
121 2:8	4		
121 2.8 1275 1:23	400 2:8		
14 16:16 20:16	439-0504 1:24		
22:13	1.24		
15 1:14	5		
17 1:12	5 45:20 46:4		
17 1.12 17th 67:18	566 5:21		
18 6:7,8,12,21			
6:24 7:3,25	6		
8:10,17,23	610 1:24		
11:19,22 12:4	695 5:22		
12:13,15 16:16			
20:16 24:2,6	7		
31:13 32:1	7 47:16,22 48:23		
18102 2:4	49:4		
18106 1:24	77's 38:25		
19107 2:8	776 13:10		
1922 4:24	8		
1960 62:6,6	8 36:3 42:25		
	800 1:24		
2			
2 3:8,15 43:1	9		
68:16,17	9 46:25 48:14		
2020 5:20 38:24			
41:22 52:1,7			
52:18 53:10			
57:2 61:10			
64:13			
2021 1:14 3:15			
52:18 53:20			
61:8 72:7			
21 6:6 22,000-ish 56:2			
22,000-isn 56:2 22nd 68:11			
241 5:22 37:21			
25 37:2 61:10			
257 41:15 42:11			
43:8,19 44:21			
44:22,23,25			
77.22,23,23			
		·	